Coco Vista Centre
466 SW Port St. Lucie Blvd, Suite 111
Port St. Lucie, FL 34953
772-462-1593 www.stlucietpo.org

ST. LUCIE LOCAL COORDINATING BOARD FOR THE TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED (LCB) REGULAR MEETING

Date: Wednesday, June 15, 2016

Time: 2:00pm

Location: St. Lucie Transportation Planning Organization (TPO)

466 SW Port St. Lucie Boulevard, Suite 111, Port St. Lucie

AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Pledge of Allegiance
- 3. Self-Introductions
- 4. Approval of Minutes
 - March 16, 2016 Public Hearing
 - March 16, 2016 Regular Meeting
- 5. Comments from the Public
- 6. Approval of Agenda
- 7. Action Items
 - **7a.** Community Transportation Coordinator (CTC) Evaluation: An evaluation of the CTC in meeting the needs of the transportation disadvantaged population was conducted. The results of the evaluation will be presented.

Action: Approve, approve with conditions, or do not approve

7b. Trip and Equipment Grant Allocation and Trip Rate: The Trip and Equipment Grant Allocation and Trip Rate which support public transportation access for transportation disadvantaged persons who are not sponsored by any other available funding source will be reviewed.

Action: Approve, approve with conditions, or do not approve

7c. Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan (TDSP) Minor Update: A clarification regarding Transportation Disadvantaged (TD) eligibility is being made to the TDSP, the planning, operations, and quality assurance guidance document for the local TD program.

Action: Approve, approve with conditions, or do not approve

7d. 2016 Meeting Schedule Revision: A change to the December 2016 meeting date is proposed.

Action: Approve, approve with conditions, or do not approve

8. Discussion Items

8a. Evaluation of Treasure Coast Connector (TCC) U.S. 1 Bus Stop and Infrastructure Locations: An analysis of selected bus stop and bus shelter concerns along U.S. 1 will be presented.

Action: Discuss and provide comments to staff.

- 9. FDOT Comments
- 10. Recommendations/Comments by Members
- 11. Staff Comments
- 12. Comments from the Public
- **13. Next Meeting:** The next LCB meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, September 14, 2016, 2:00pm, at the St. Lucie TPO, 466 SW Port St. Lucie Boulevard, Suite 111, Port St. Lucie, Florida.

14. Adjourn

NOTICES

The St. Lucie TPO satisfies the requirements of various nondiscrimination laws and regulations including Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Public participation is welcomed without regard to race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, disability, income, or family status. Persons wishing to express their concerns about nondiscrimination should contact Marceia Lathou, the Title VI/ADA Coordinator of the St. Lucie TPO, at 772-462-1593 or via email at lathoum@stlucieco.org.

Persons who require special accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) or persons who require translation services (free of charge) should contact Marceia Lathou, 772-462-1593, at least five days prior to the meeting. Persons who are hearing or speech impaired may use the Florida Relay System by dialing 711.

Items not included on the agenda may also be heard in consideration of the best interests of the public's health, safety, welfare, and as necessary to protect every person's right of access. If any person decides to appeal any decision made by the St. Lucie LCB with respect to any matter considered at this meeting, that person shall need a record of the proceedings, and for such a purpose, that person may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made which includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based.

Kreyol Ayisyen: Si ou ta renmen resevwa enfòmasyon sa a nan lang Kreyòl Aysiyen, tanpri rele nimewo (772) 462-1593.

Español: Si usted desea recibir esta información en Español, por favor llame al 772-462-1593.

Coco Vista Centre
466 SW Port St. Lucie Blvd, Suite 111
Port St. Lucie, FL 34953
772-462-1593 www.stlucietpo.org

ST. LUCIE LOCAL COORDINATING BOARD FOR THE TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED (LCB)

Public Hearing and Training Workshop

DATE: Wednesday, March 16, 2016

TIME: 2:00 pm

LOCATION: St. Lucie TPO Boardroom

Coco Vista Centre

466 SW Port St. Lucie Boulevard, Suite 111

Port St. Lucie, Florida

MINUTES

1. Call to Order

Vice Chairperson Hensley called the meeting to order at 2:00 pm.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

3. Roll Call

Self-introductions were made.

Members Present: Representing:

Paula Lewis, Chairperson St. Lucie TPO Board

Kathryn Hensley, Vice Chairperson Public Education Community

Alan Love Disable Community
Nelson Merchan-Cely Citizen Advocate

Nancy Hess Citizen Advocate/User

Stacy Malinowski Economically Disadvantaged

Wibet Hay FDOT

Donna Mihok FL Dept. of Children & Families

Dalia Dillon FL Dept. of Elder Affair Steve Palumbo FL Dept. of Vocational

Rehabilitation

Jay Lundy Regional Workforce Board

Marianne Arbore Local Mass Transit

Ronda Cerulli Local Medical Community

Others Attending: Representing:

Peter Buchwald St. Lucie TPO Marceia Lathou St. Lucie TPO

Melissa Carter Recording Specialist

Tim Edwards NFB
Doreen Franklin NFB

Murriah Dekle St. Lucie County Mass Transit

Marie Dorismond FDC

Krystal Sims Stand UP for Independence / Family Care Council-Area 5

Melody Hearn Family Care Council-Area 5
Malcom Harris-Gowdie Stand UP for Independence

Bolivar Gomez Martin MPO

4. Opening Public Comments- Ms. Melody Hearn, Tim Edwards, Krystal Sims, and Malcolm Harris-Gowdie spoke regarding the need for increased access to public transportation, particularly to employment.

Ms. Arbore clarified that the commenters were referring to the demand response system.

Ms. Lewis noted the CTC and Community Transit are constantly working to expand the system. She recommended that the commenters speak with Ms. Dekle and Ms. Arbore after the meeting because staff often can, through travel training and mobility management, help the public to maximize their use of the transit system.

5. Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged (FCTD)/Local Coordinating Board (LCB) Overview

Ms. Lathou presented the overview. A PowerPoint presentation described the purpose of the TD program, a description of the TD population, types of transportation, current funding sources, the role of the LCB, and the benefits of coordination.

6. Transportation Disadvantaged (TD)/Coordinated Transportation Overview Ms. Dekle provided an overview of the funding sources and partnerships that support the coordinated system. She provided details regarding the numerous projects the CTC has brought to fruition including the Lakewood Park bus route, free youth rides, and extended hours for the bus service. Projects in development include bus shelters along U.S. 1. The CTC is involved in a variety of community outreach efforts that result in increased awareness of the transit system.

7. TD Operator Overview on TD Services and Pubic Transportation

Ms. Arbore described the public transportation service in St. Lucie County. She provided background on Community Transit, a division of the Council on Aging of St. Lucie, Inc. (COASL), which is contracted by the St. Lucie BOCC to provide the service. She described characteristics of the demand response and fixed route bus services. The eligibility of riders for the demand response system was explained. A regional bus route map was displayed which showed connections to the Martin and Indian River Counties bus systems. Ms. Arbore noted that ridership is low on the HANDS Clinic shuttle. Mr. Merchan-Cely and other LCB members offered their assistance in raising public awareness of the shuttle.

- 8. Closing Public Comment-none
- **9. Next Meeting** The next LCB meeting is a regular meeting scheduled for 2:00pm on Wednesday, June 15, 2016.
- **10. ADJOURN -** The meeting was adjourned at 2:30pm.

Respectfully Submitted:	Approved by:	
Melissa Carter	Paula Lewis	
Recording Specialist	Chairperson	

Coco Vista Centre 466 SW Port St. Lucie Blvd, Suite 111 Port St. Lucie, FL 34953 772-462-1593 www.stlucietpo.org

ST. LUCIE LOCAL COORDINATING BOARD FOR THE TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED (LCB)

Regular Meeting

DATE: Wednesday, March 16, 2016

TIME: Immediately following the 2:00pm Public Hearing

LOCATION: St. Lucie TPO Boardroom

Coco Vista Centre

466 SW Port St. Lucie Boulevard, Suite 111

Port St. Lucie, Florida

MINUTES

1. Call to Order

Chairperson Lewis called the meeting to order at 2:40 pm.

Members Present: Representing:

Paula Lewis, Chairperson St. Lucie TPO Board

Kathryn Hensley, Vice Chairperson Public Education Community

Alan Love Disabled Community
Nelson Merchan-Cely Citizen Advocate

Nancy Hess Citizen Advocate/User

Stacy Malinowski Economically Disadvantaged

Wibet Hay FDOT

Donna Mihok FL Dept. of Children & Families

Dalia Dillon FL Dept. of Elder Affair Steve Palumbo FL Dept. of Vocational

Rehabilitation

Jay Lundy Regional Workforce Board

Marianne Arbore Local Mass Transit

Ronda Cerulli Local Medical Community

Others Attending: Representing:

Peter Buchwald St. Lucie TPO Marceia Lathou St. Lucie TPO Melissa Carter Recording Specialist

Tim Edwards NFB Doreen Franklin NFB

Murriah Dekle St. Lucie County Mass Transit

Marie Dorismond FDOT

Krystal Sims Stand UP for Independence

/ Family Care Council-Area 5 Family Care Council-Area 5 Stand UP for Independence

Malcom Harris-Gowdie Stand UP fo Bolivar Gomez Martin MPO

2. Confirmation of Quorum

Melody Hearn

A quorum of members was confirmed.

3. Comments from the Public-None

4. Approval of Minutes

- December 16, 2015 Regular Meeting
- * **MOTION MOVED** Ms. Hensley to approve the minutes of the December 16, 2015 Regular Meeting.
- ** **SECONDED** by Ms. Dillon

Carried **UNANIMOUSLY**

5. Approval of Agenda

- * **MOTION MOVED** by Mr. Love to approve the agenda.
- ** **SECONDED** by Ms. Arbore

Carried **UNANIMOUSLY**

6. Action Items

6a. Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan (TDSP)/Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan (Coordinated Plan) Minor Update: A review of the minor update of the TDSP/Coordinated Plan, which addresses the

transportation needs of older adults, persons with disabilities, low-income persons, and children at risk.

Ms. Lathou described the purpose of the Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan which is a strategic plan for the delivery of public bus transportation for TD persons. She identified the requirements for developing a TDSP and outlined the required components of the TDSP. It was noted that the last major TDSP Update was conducted in 2013 and the current revision is a minor update.

Because the estimates and forecasts of the TD population are consistent with the TDSP Major Update, there were no significant changes made to the minor update. A change was made to reflect the addition of Lakewood Park Route #7 and there was also a change in Community Transit's no show policy and the level of the driver's pre-employment background checks.

- * **MOTION MOVED** by Mr. Lundy to approve the TDSP/Coordinated Plan Minor Update.
- ** **SECONDED** by Ms. Malinowski

Carried UNANIMOUSLY

This item required a Roll Call Vote:

Ms. Lewis, Chairperson-For; Ms. Hensley, Vice-Chairperson-For; Mr. Love-For; Mr. Merchan-Cely –For; Ms. Hess-For; Ms. Malinowski-For; Ms. Hay; Ms. Mihok-For; Ms. Dillon-For; Mr. Palumbo-For; Mr. Lundy-For; Ms. Arbore-For; Ms. Cerulli-For

Thirteen members voted for approval of the TDSP Minor Update.

6b. By-Laws Update: Review of an update of the By-Laws which provide a framework for the operation of the LCB.

Ms. Lathou described the purpose of the By-laws which is to guide the operations of the LCB. Because the LCB has at times encountered difficulty in obtaining or maintaining a quorum, a change is being proposed to the composition of a quorum. The current quorum requires a majority of the members. It is proposed that a quorum consist of one-third of members. Robert's Rules of Order states that a quorum consists of a majority of members unless the by-laws state otherwise. The Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged Local Coordinating Board and Planning Agency Operating Guidelines does not describe the composition of a quorum.

Ms. Lewis felt that part of the strength of the Board emanated from the diverse groups the members represent. If only six members were required that might diminish the Board's impact.

Mr. Love felt that any issues related to obtaining quorums could be resolved by members contacting their alternates if the member were unable to attend.

Ms. Hensley acknowledged the difficulty that could result from meeting state requirements if a quorum could not be met.

Mr. Lundy and Ms. Dillon were among the members who asserted that it was the responsibility of the LCB members to attend the meetings and that reminder phone calls should not be necessary.

- * **MOTION MOVED** by Ms. Hensley to accept the By-laws as-is without the change in quorum proposed by staff.
- ** **SECONDED** by Mr. Lundy

Carried **UNANIMOUSLY**

6c. Community Transportation Coordinator (CTC) Evaluation Committee Appointments: Selection of a LCB Committee to assist with the annual evaluation of the CTC.

Ms. Lathou noted that the LCB is required to conduct an annual evaluation of the CTC. The FCTD provides a workbook for this purpose. The CTC evaluation consists of two parts: a quantitative analysis and a qualitative analysis. A committee of the LCB assists with the qualitative analysis through participating in a demand response ride. In the past, the CTC committee has consisted of two agency representatives and a citizens representative.

The members selected were Ms. Dillon, Mr. Merchan-Cely, and Ms. Mihok.

It was the consensus of the LCB to accept the appointment of the three representative LCB volunteers to serve on the CTC Evaluation Committee.

7. Information Items

7a. Florida Transportation Disadvantaged Commission 2016 Legislative Priorities.

Ms. Lathou explained that the legislative priorities of maintaining the funding and integrity of the TD program had been met and exceeded based on new funding opportunities being provided.

8. FDOT Comments

9. Recommendations/Comments by Members- Ms. Hay provided an update on the establishment of a mobility manager position for the region. She said a host agency has been selected and she provided information on the status of signing an agreement with that agency.

Ms. Malinowski initiated a discussion regarding issues related to the location of bus stops and the lack of bus stop infrastructure at certain stops. Mentioned were stops at U.S. 1/Edwards Road and at Cashmere Boulevard/St. Lucie West Boulevard in front of the new Walmart. As a result of the discussion, the LCB requested that TPO staff analyze the location of bus stops along U.S. 1 as well as the suitability of bus stop shelters and/or benches.

- 10. Staff Comments-Ms. Lathou noted a get-well card was circulating for Mary Holleran, the regular LCB recording specialist, who is recovering from knee surgery. Ms. Lathou also mentioned that Mr. Brewer regretted that he was unable to attend today's meeting because he is out of town.
- 11. Comments from the Public-Krista Sims and Malcolm Harris-Gowdie spoke regarding the need for improved public transportation options. They related problems in reaching their employment sites and in long wait times.
- **12. Next Meeting**: The next LCB meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, June 15, 2016, 2:00 pm, at the St. Lucie TPO, 466 SW Port St. Lucie Boulevard, Suite 111, Port St. Lucie, Florida.
- 13. ADJOURN The meeting was adjourned at 3:26pm

Respectfully Submitted: Approved by:

Melissa Carter	Paula Lewis	
Recording Specialist	Chairperson	



Coco Vista Centre
466 SW Port St. Lucie Blvd, Suite 111
Port St. Lucie, FL 34953
772-462-1593 www.stlucietpo.org

AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

Board/Committee: St. Lucie LCB

Meeting Date: June 15, 2016

Item Number: 7a

Item Title: Community Transportation Coordinator (CTC)

Evaluation

Item Origination: Florida Commission for the Transportation

Disadvantaged (FCTD)

UPWP Reference: Task 3.8 – Transportation Disadvantaged (TD)

Program

Requested Action: Approve the CTC Evaluation and set an annual

percentage increase goal for ridership on the

fixed route system.

Staff Recommendation: Because the CTC meets or exceeds all FCTD

standards, it is recommended that the CTC Evaluation be approved. It is recommended that a four percent increase for fixed route ridership be set which is consistent with ridership growth projections outlined in the current Transit

Development Plan Major Update.

<u>Attachment</u>

- Staff Report
- CTC Evaluation

Coco Vista Centre
466 SW Port St. Lucie Blvd, Suite 111
Port St. Lucie, FL 34953
772-462-1593 www.stlucietpo.org

MEMORANDUM

TO: St. Lucie Local Coordinating Board for the Transportation

Disadvantaged (LCB)

THROUGH: Peter Buchwald

Executive Director

FROM: Marceia Lathou

Transit Program Manager

DATE: June 1, 2016

SUBJECT: Community Transportation Coordinator (CTC)

Evaluation

BACKGROUND

The LCB is required to conduct an annual evaluation of the Community Transportation Coordinator (CTC). The Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged (FCTD) provides a workbook for this purpose.

The role of the CTC is to ensure that safe, quality, coordinated transportation is provided or arranged in a cost-effective manner to serve transportation disadvantaged persons. The St. Lucie Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) is the CTC for St. Lucie County and contracts with Community Transit, a division of the Council on Aging of St. Lucie, Inc., for public transportation services. Therefore, the CTC evaluation assesses the performance of both the CTC and Community Transit with regard to the coordinated system.

The CTC evaluation consists of two parts: a qualitative analysis and a quantitative analysis. A demand response ride taken on Monday, April 15, 2016 and a telephone survey of riders comprise the qualitative analysis. A quantitative analysis covering FCTD and local standards also was conducted. The results of the above analyses are documented in the attached CTC Evaluation.

As part of the CTC Evaluation, the LCB is required to establish an annual percentage goal increase for the number of trips provided within the public

June 1, 2016 Page 2 of 3

transit system. In the past, the LCB has set a goal of seven percent for the fixed route system.

<u>ANALYSIS</u>

CTC Evaluation

The results of the rider survey indicate a high level of customer satisfaction with the demand response system. Riders commented on their gratitude for the service and the professionalism of the drivers and reservation staff. However, it was noted that more than 40 percent of riders responded they encountered a problem with their trip, most frequently related to late pick ups. During the prior year CTC Evaluation survey, approximately 10 percent of riders reported encountering a problem with their trip.

The results of the demand response bus ride is that the service is operated in a safe, efficient, and effective manner. It was noted, however, that although the driver ensured all TD passengers secured their seatbelts, the driver did not ensure that the CTC evaluation committee members wore seatbelts. In addition, one committee member noted a sticky substance on the bus floor under a seat.

Based on the results of the CTC Evaluation as documented in the attached surveys and worksheets, the CTC and Community Transit are meeting or exceeding all applicable FCTD standards.

Public Transportation Ridership Goal

Trips on the Treasure Coast Connector fixed-route system Routes 1-6 were analyzed. By the end of FY 13/14, a total of 185,849 trips were taken. By the end of FY 14/15, a total of 187,142 trips were taken. The result was an approximate one percent increase. During the prior year CTC review period, fixed route ridership increased approximately 11 percent.

RECOMMENDATION

- Advise the CTC and Community Transit to monitor their monthly rider surveys to determine the validity of rider issues regarding late pick ups.
- Advise the CTC and Community Transit to remind drivers that the seatbelt policy applies to all passengers.
- Advise the CTC and Community Transit to review the cleaning schedule for the buses and to adjust the schedule as needed.

June 1, 2016 Page 3 of 3

• Continue to work with the CTC and Community Transit on strategies to increase ridership.

- Approve the 2016 CTC Evaluation based on the CTC and Community Transit meeting or exceeding all FCTD standards.
- Set an annual percentage goal increase of four percent for the number of trips provided on the fixed-route system. This percentage is consistent with ridership growth projections outlined in the current Transit Development Plan Major Update.

CTC EVALUATION WORKBOOK

Florida Commission for the



Transportation Disadvantaged

CTC BEING REVII	EWED:	St. Lucie BOC	
COUNTY (IES):		St. Lucie	,
ADDRESS:	437 North	7th Street, Fort Pierce, FI	34950
CONTACT:M	lurriah Dekle	_ PHONE:	772-462-3065
REVIEW PERIOD:	FY 2014-15	_ REVIEW DATI	ES: April/May 2016
PERSON CONDUC	CTING THE RE	Marc	eia Lathou
CONTACT INFOR	MATION:	lathoum@stlucieco.org	j/772-462-1671

EVALUATION INFORMATION

An LCB review will consist of, but is not limited to the following pages:

	Cover Page
	Entrance Interview Questions
A -	Chapter 427.0155 (3) Review the CTC monitoring of
	contracted operators
-	Chapter 427.0155 (4) Review TDSP to determine utilization
	of school buses and public transportation services
The second secon	Insurance
_	Rule 41-2.011 (2) Evaluation of cost-effectiveness of
	Coordination Contractors and Transportation Alternatives
	Commission Standards and Local Standards
	On-Site Observation
-	Surveys
\hat{\range}	Level of Cost - Worksheet 1
	Level of Competition – Worksheet 2
,	Level of Coordination – Worksheet 3

Notes to remember:

- The CTC should not conduct the evaluation or surveys. If the CTC is also the PA, the PA should contract with an outside source to assist the LCB during the review process.
- Attach a copy of the Annual QA Self Certification.

ENTRANCE INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

INTRODUCTION AND BRIEFING:

7		ibe the evaluation ation to the CTD)	-	ess (LCB evaluates the CTC and forwards a copy of the
7		CB reviews the C		nce every year to evaluate the operations and the rdinator.
	The L	CB will be revie	wing tl	he following areas:
	7	Chapter 427, R	ules 41	1-2 and 14-90, CTD Standards, and Local Standards
	7	Following up o Ombudsman pr		Status Report from last year and calls received from the
		Monitoring of o	contrac	etors.
	7	_		eficiaries, purchasers of service, and contractors
Ø				Report with the findings and recommendations to the CTC safter the review has concluded.
7		the CTC has reco		he Review Report, the CTC will submit a Status Report to lays.
2		an update of Con if needed.	ımissi	on level activities (last meeting update and next meeting
Usin	G THE A	PR, COMPILE T	HIS INI	FORMATION:
1. O	PERAT	ING ENVIRON	MENT	?:
	7	RURAL	Ø	URBAN
2. O	RGANI	ZATION TYPE	:	
		PRIVATE-FO	R-PRC	DFIT
		PRIVATE NO	N-PRO	DFIT
	1	GOVERNME	VT.	
		TRANSPORT	ATIO!	N AGENCY

3.	NETWOR	K TYPE:
		SOLE PROVIDER
		PARTIAL BROKERAGE
	×	COMPLETE BROKERAGE
4.	NAM	E THE OPERATORS THAT YOUR COMPANY HAS CONTRACTS WITH:
С	ouncil on <i>i</i>	Aging, St. Lucie/Community Transit

5. NAME THE GROUPS THAT YOUR COMPANY HAS COORDINATION CONTRACTS WITH:

Coordination Contract Agencies									
Name of Agency	Address	City, State, Zip	Telephone Number	Contact					
See attachment									
			a Mills -						
<u> </u>									

Coordination Contract Agencies						
Name of Agency	Address	City, State, Zip	Telephone No.	Contact		
	PO Box 1016	Fort Pierce, FL 34954	772-468-7879	Jeff Egelston		
Aurora of the Treasure Coast	6609 N. U.S. Highway 1	Fort Pierce, FL 34946	772-460-0809	Ann F. Meier		
Boys & Girls Club of St. Lucie, Inc.	1011 N 23 rd St.	Fort Pierce, FL 34950	772-460-7678	William Armstead		
Gulfstream Goodwill Ind. Inc.	1101 NW 21st Street	Stuart, FL 34994	772-337-0077	Anthony Polito		
Love Center Regeneration Ministries, Inc.	907 N. 13 th Street	Fort Pierce, FL 34950	772-460-2445	Jerome Rhyant		
Mt. Zion Group Home	2163 SE Addison Street	Port St. Lucie, FL 34983	772-342-4195	Vivian Gaston		
Nativity Group Home, Inc.	2106 SE 26 th Street	Fort Pierce, FL 34947	772-216-6195	Marie N. Pierre		
New Horizons of the Treasure Coast	4500 West Midway Road	Fort Pierce, FL 34981	772-672-8378	John Romano		
Scott's Cheerful Residence	6781 NW Abigail Avenue	Port St. Lucie, FL 34983	772-979-2279	Linnette Robinson		
St. Lucie County Headstart (ALPI)	2202 Avenue Q	Fort Pierce, FL 34950	772-466-2631	Deloris Johnson		
St. Lucie County Veterans Services	1664 SE Walton Road	Port St. Lucie, FL 34952	772-337-5677	Wayne Teegartin		
Universal Home Care	3273 SE Constellation Rd	Port St. Lucie, FL 34953	772-284-0301	Gregory Lilavois		

COMPLIANCE WITH CHAPTER 427, F.S.
Review the CTC monitoring of its transportation operator contracts to ensure compliance with 427.0155(3), F.S. "Review all transportation operator contracts annually."
WHAT TYPE OF MONITORING DOES THE CTC PERFORM ON ITS OPERATOR(S) AND HOW OFTEN IS IT CONDUCTED?
Throughout the year, the CTC conducts various types of monitoring and audits of the local transportation provider and the contracted providers. The CTC performs oversight and monitoring in the form of annual and biennial monitoring, quarterly reporting and annual review of coordination agreement and vehicles. Assessments and surveys are periodically done to address issues
Is a written report issued to the operator? Yes No
If NO , how are the contractors notified of the results of the monitoring?
WHAT TYPE OF MONITORING DOES THE CTC PERFORM ON ITS COORDINATION CONTRACTORS AND HOW OFTEN IS IT CONDUCTED?
Yearly evaluation/monitoring is conducted by the CTC.
Is a written report issued? ☑ Yes □ No
If NO , how are the contractors notified of the results of the monitoring?
WHAT ACTION IS TAKEN IF A CONTRACTOR RECEIVES AN UNFAVORABLE REPORT?
Certain issues are addressed at the time of the review then supported with a written report with a specific time frame for corrective action. If contractor(s)
IS THE CTC IN COMPLIANCE WITH THIS SECTION? ■ Yes □ No

ASK TO SEE DOCUMENTATION OF MONITORING REPORTS.

COMPLIANCE WITH CHAPTER 427, F.S.

Review the TDSP to determine the utilization of school buses and public transportation services [Chapter 427.0155(4)]

"Approve and coordinate the utilization of school bus and public transportation services in accordance with the TDSP."

HOW IS THE CTC USING SCHOOL BUSES IN THE COORDINATED SYSTEM?

School buses are used for summer camp activities as well as emergency evacuation as outlined in the Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan Major Update.

Rule 41-2.012(5)(b): "As part of the Coordinator's performance, the local Coordinating Board shall also set an annual percentage goal increase for the number of trips provided within the system for ridership on public transit, where applicable. In areas where the public transit is not being utilized, the local Coordinating Board shall set an annual percentage of the number of trips to be provided on public transit."

HOW I		CTC USING PU	BLIC TR	ANSPORT	CATION	SERVI	CES IN	1 THE	COOR	DINATED
IS THE	ERE A G	OAL FOR TRA	ANSFERR	ING PASS No	ENGER	S FROI	M PAR	(ATRA	NSIT T	O TRANSIT?
	If YES,	, what is the goa	11?							
•		ng more dem ed route syste		•	_	rs who) have	the c	apabil	ity to
	Is the C	CTC accomplish	ing the go	al?	Yes		No			
IS THE	E CTC IN	N COMPLIANC	E WITH	THIS REQ	UIREM	ENT?	×	Yes		No
Com	nents:				·					

	COMPLIA	ANCE WITH 41-2, F.	A.C.
Compliance with 41 "ensure complian \$100,000 per person	ice with the		Compliance insurance requirement of
— WHAT ARE THE MIN	IMUM LIABII	LITY INSURANCE REQ	UIREMENTS?
\$1,000,000 per occurr	ence.		
WHAT ARE THE MIN OPERATOR AND CO		LITY INSURANCE REQ I CONTRACTS?	UIREMENTS IN THE
HOW MUCH DOES T	HE INSURAN	CE COST (per operator)?	
Opera		Insurance Cost	
Community	Transit	502,535	
DOES THE MINIMUN PER INCIDENT?	1 LIABILITY	INSURANCE REQUIRE	MENTS EXCEED \$1 MILLION
☐ Yes 🗵	No		
If yes, was this	approved by the	e Commission? D	∕es □ No
IS THE CTC IN COMI	PLIANCE WIT	TH THIS SECTION?	Yes 🛘 No
Comments:			
<u> </u>			

COMPLIANCE WITH 41-2, F.A.C.

Compliance with 41-2.011(2), Evaluating Cost-Effectiveness of Coordination Contractors and Transportation Alternatives.

"...contracts shall be reviewed annually by the Community Transportation Coordinator and the Coordinating Board as to the effectiveness and efficiency of the Transportation Operator or the renewal of any Coordination Contracts."

1. IF THE CTC HAS COORDINATION CONTRACTORS, DETERMINE THE COST-EFFECTIVENESS OF THESE CONTRACTORS.

Cost [CTC and Coordination Contractor (CC)]

A SAMES	CTC	CC #1	CC #2	CC #3	CC #4
Flat contract rate (s) (\$ amount / unit)	17.41				
Detail other rates as needed: (e.g. ambulatory, wheelchair, stretcher, out-of-county, group)	29.85				
					į
				Linde	- Company

Explanation:

Above rates are proposed to increase as follows: Ambulatory \$18.74, Wheelchair \$32.13

	CTC	Alt. #1	Alt. #2	Alt. #3	Alt. #4
Flat contract rate (s) (\$ amount / unit)		\$6.12 The ARC			
Detail other rates as needed: (e.g. ambulatory, wheelchair, stretcher, out-of-county, group)					
Special or unique considerations that	influence c	costs?			
Explanation:					

(Those specific transportation services approved by rule or the Commission as a service not

2. DO YOU HAVE TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES?

Yes \square No

COMPLIANCE WITH 41-2, F.A.C.

Compliance with Commission Standards "...shall adhere to Commission approved standards..."

Review the TDSP for the Commission standards.

Commission Standards	Comments
Local toll free phone number must be posted in all vehicles.	Operator's number, CTC number and TD hotline number are posted.
Vehicle Cleanliness	Yes
Passenger/Trip Database	Yes

Adequate seating	Yes
Driver Identification	Yes
Driver identification	165
December Assistance	Yes
Passenger Assistance	res
Smoking, Eating and Drinking	Policy posted on buses.

Two-way Communications	Yes
Air Conditioning/Heating	Yes
Billing Requirements	In compliance with Chapter 287.0585 F.S.

COMPLIANCE WITH 41-2, F.A.C.

Compliance with Local Standards "...shall adhere to Commission approved standards..."

Review the TDSP for the Local standards.

Local Standards	Comments
Transport of Escorts and dependent children policy	Children under 12 and persons requiring functional assistance must be accompanied by a PCA (Personal Care Attendant). PCAs are not required to pay fares.
Use, Responsibility, and cost of child restraint devices	All demand response vehicles are equipped with two child restraint devices.
Out-of-Service Area trips	No out of service area trips are performed under TD.
CPR/1st Aid	First aid kit on board buses.
Driver Criminal Background Screening	Conduct pre-employment at Level Two
Rider Personal Property	Passengers are responsible for all personal property. Property left on bus is turned in to Lost and Found at main office in Fort Pierce.
Advance reservation requirements	24 hours in advance, up to two weeks.
Pick-up Window	60 minutes before scheduled appointment.

Measurable Standards/Goals	Standard/Goal	Latest Figures	Is the CTC/Operator meeting the Standard?
Public Transit Ridership	СТС	СТС	
Tueste Hanster Hadrestip	Operator A 7%	Operator A 1%	No
7 percent annually	Operator B	Operator B	
	Operator C	Operator C	
On-time performance	CTC	CTC	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Operator A 90%	Operator A 90%	Yes
90 percent	Operator B	Operator B	
	Operator C	Operator C	
Passenger No-shows	CTC	CTC	
Two no-shows per	Operator A 2/30 days	Operator A 1,867	Yes
30-day period	Operator B	Operator B	
30-day period	Operator C	Operator C	
Accidents	CTC	CTC	
1.5/100,000 miles	Operator A 1.5/100,000	Operator A 1.5/266,970	Yes
1.5/266,970-4 total	Operator B	Operator B	
	Operator C	Operator C	
Roadcalls No less than 1,000 miles between	CTC	CTC	
1,000 miles between	Operator A 1/1,000 miles	Operator A 1/47,461	Yes
road calls	Operator B	Operator B	
Average age of fleet: 3.67	Operator C	Operator C	
Complaints 1 complaint	CTC	CTC	
Complaints 1 complaint per 1,000 trips	Operator A 1/1,000 trips	Operator A 1/16,837	Yes
	Operator B	Operator B	
Number filed: 9	Operator C	Operator C	
Call-Hold Time	CTC	СТС	
	Operator A Call pick up by 3rd ding	4	Yes
Call picked up by	Operator B	Operator B	
3rd ring	Operator C	Operator C	

LOCAL STANDARDS
Findings:
Operator A, Community Transit, did not meet the standard for public transit ridership.
Recommendations:
Continue to work with the CTC and Community Transit on strategies to increase ridership.
ndersing.

ON-SITE OBSERVATION OF THE SYSTEM

RIDE A VEHICLE WITIN THE COORDINATED SYSTEM. REQUEST A COPY OF THE MANIFEST PAGE THAT CONTAINS THIS TRIP.

Date of Observation: April 15, 2016				
Please list any special guests that were present: Carter, Dekle, Dillon, La	ithou	ı, and N	∕lihok	
Location: Fort Pierce	W W - W - W - W - W - W - W - W - W - W			
Number of Passengers picked up/dropped off: 4		·		
Ambulatory 4				
Non-Ambulatory				
Was the driver on time? ⊠ Yes □ No - How many minute	s late	/early?		
Did the driver provide any passenger assistance? 🗵 Yes 🛭 No)			
Was the driver wearing any identification? ⊠ Yes: ⊠ Unif		⊠ N	ате Т	ag
Did the driver render an appropriate greeting? Yes Driver regularly transports the rider, not	neces	ssary		
If CTC has a policy on seat belts, did the driver ensure the passengers were	e pro	perly b	elted? ⊠	No
Was the vehicle neat and clean, and free from dirt, torn upholstery, damage protruding metal or other objects?	ged o	r broker Yes	seats	, No
Is there a sign posted on the interior of the vehicle with both a local phone Helpline for comments/complaints/commendations?	nun	nber and Yes	I the T	D No
Does the vehicle have working heat and air conditioning?	×	Yes		No
Does the vehicle have two-way communications in good working order?	×	Yes		No
If used, was the lift in good working order?		Yes		No

Was there safe and appropriate seating for all passengers?	×	Yes		No
Did the driver properly use the lift and secure the passenger?		Yes		No
If No, please explain:				
The driver ensured that all TD passengers were wearing their driver did not ensure that the CTC Evaluation Committee mer seatbelts. A sticky substance was noted on the floor near one of the sea The lift was not used; all passengers were ambulatory.	nbers v			
CTC: St. Lucie BOCC Co	ounty:	St. Luc	cie	
Date of Ride: April 15, 2016				

Funding Source	No. of Trips	No. of Riders/Beneficiaries	No. of Calls to Make	No. of Calls Made
CTD	84 RT	98	25	25
Medicaid				
Other				
Other				
Other)				
Other				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Totals	84	98	25	25

Number of Round Trips	Number of Riders/Beneficiaries to Survey
0-200	30%
201 – 1200	10%
1201 +	5%

Note: Attach the manifest

RIDER/BENEFICIARY SURVEY

Staff making call:	County:	St. Lucie
Date of Call: 4/2016	Funding	Source:
1) Did you receive transportation servi	ice on 4 15	2613 Yes or 1 No
2) Where you charged an amount in ac	dition to the co	-payment? 🛘 Yes or 🖟 No
If so, how much?		
3) How often do you normally obtain	transportation?	
☐ Daily 7 Days/Week ☐ Other	1-2 Times/\	Week 3-5Times/Week
4) Have you ever been denied transpo	rtation services	?
☐ Yes		
No. If no, skip to question # 4		Language transportation garaioss
		you been refused transportation services?
	3-5 Times	
	6-10 Times	
If none, skip to question # B. What was the reason given	for refusing yo	u transportation services?
☐ Ineligible	☐ Space not a	
Lack of funds	☐ Destination	outside service area
Other	-	
5) What do you normally use the serv	vice for?	
Medical		Fraining/Day Care
Employment	Life-Sustain	ing/Other
Nutritional	SHOPPI	NY
1 '		7
6) Did you have a problem with you		
Yes. If yes, please state of		m from below
No. If no, skip to questio What type of problem dic	n # 6 I you have with	your trip?
☐ Advance notice		☐ Cost
Pick up times not con	venient	☐ Late pick up-specify time of wait
☐ Assistance		☐ Accessibility
Scryice Area Limits		🛘 Late return pick up - length of wait

Page 47

Example

☐ Drivers - specify	Reservations - specify length of wait
☐ Vehicle condition	☐ Other
7) On a scale of 1 to 10 (10 being most satisfied) rat	
8) What does transportation mean to you? (Permiss use in publications.)	ion granted by ENNTS for AZZARO
Additional Comments:	
"WE PON'T PRIVE AN COMMUNITY TRANSIT, FOR	4 MORE, SO I RIDE
COMMUNITY TRANSIT, FOR	DOCTORS APPOINTMENTS
4SHOPPING"	
1	
AZZARO EXPIRESSED THE STOP IVEAR HIS HOUSE	DESIRE FOR A BUS

"IT'S THE BEST & COMMUNITY SERVICE - COMMUNITY TRANSIT, WITHOUT THAT SERVICE I DON'T KNOW WHAT WE WOULD DO."

Contractor Survey

St. Lucie County

Council on Aging of St. Lucie, Inc. - Community Transit

Contractor	· name (optional)
1. Do the ride	ers/beneficiaries call your facility directly to cancel a trip?
⊠ Yes	□ No
2. Do the ride Yes	ers/beneficiaries call your facility directly to issue a complaint?
complaint	ve a toll-free phone number for a rider/beneficiary to issue commendations and/or ts posted on the interior of all vehicles that are used to transport TD riders? No
If yes, is t ☑ Yes	the phone number posted the CTC's?
4. Are the inv	voices you send to the CTC paid in a timely manner?
5. Does the C	CTC give your facility adequate time to report statistics?
6. Have you o	experienced any problems with the CTC?
If yes, wh	nat type of problems?
Comments	; :

Level of Cost Worksheet 1

Insert Cost page from the AOR.

	O
	Q
	O
	Δ
٠	_
	_

Total County Population 126,351 Tronsportation 126,314 36,170 2014 2015 2015 2014 2015	Total County Population 291,028	Total County Population 126,351 Tronsportation 126,314 36,170 0	Total County Population 126,551 Transportation 126,551 Transportation 126,551 Transportation 126,551 Transportation 126,465 Disacdvantaged Disacdvantaged Disacdvantaged 128,714 36,170 Revenue Miles 1,260,7078 1,348,015 1,013,177 1,010 1,014,967 128,733 Readcalls Readcalls 1,260,7078 1,348,015 1,013,177 1,010 1,014,967 128,733 Readcalls Readcalls 1,260,915 1,013,177 1,010 1,014,967 1,015,133 1,016 1,014,967 1,014,967 1,014,967 1,014,967 1,014,967 1,014,967 1,014,967 1,014,967 1,014,967 1,014,967 1,014,967 1,014,967 1,014,967 1,014,97 1,014,97 1,014,97 1,014,97 1,014,97 1,014,97 1,014,97 1,014,97 1,014,97 1,014,97 1,014,97 1,014,97 1,014	St. Lucie County B.O.C.C.	3.0.C.C.						1
Transportation 126,551 Transportation 126,551 Transportation 126,551 Transportation 126,465 Discolventedges Discolventedges Discolventedges Discolventedges Discolventedges Discolventedges Discolventedges Discolventedges 1,260,7078 1,348,015 1,3	Potential TD Population 126,551 26,465 26,465 2014 2015 Vehicle Data 2017 2018 2014 2015 Vehicle Data 2015 2014 2015 Vehicle Miles 1,507,07 2014 2015 2014 36,170 2014 36,170 2014 30,232 2014 36,170 2015 2015 2016	Potential TD Population 126,551 Incarportation 126,551 Incarportation 126,551 Incarportation Incarporation Incarportation	Potential TD Population 126,551 Transportation	Murriah S. Dekle 437 N. 7th Street		P.	Total County Population	291,028			\
1013 2014 2015 Vehicle Data 2013 2014 2015 Vehicle Data 2013 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 Vehicle Miles 1,507,078 1,307,078 1,348,015 2014 201	100PHC 26,465 1013 2014 2015 Vehicle Data (Frontic) (Pehicle Miles (Frontic)) 2014 (Frontic)	1013 2014 2015 Vehicle Data 2013 2014 2015 Vehicle Data 2013 2014 2015 Vehicle Data 2013 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2014 2015 Vehicle Miles 1,507,078 1,348,015 2014	1013 2014 2015 Vehicle Data 2013 2014 7894 29,314 36,170 Vehicle Miles 1,507,078 1,348,015 7,719 124,967 128,733 Roadcalls 7 48 7,152 123,565 100,613 Roadcalls 7 5 883 0 0 Roadcalls 7 5 96,105 128,733 Roadcalls 7 5 1,752 123,565 100,613 Roadcalls 7 7 1,752 123,665 100,613 Roadcalls 7 5 1,752 123,665 100,613 Roadcalls 7 5 1,752 123,665 49,042 265,516 Roadcalls 7 5 1,752 1,269 Portorer Hours \$1,840,012 107,438 107,438 1,753 1,294 Roadcalls \$1,841,608 \$3,767,648 1,811 1,239 1,249 Roadcalls \$1,824,004,210	Fort Pierce, FL 34772-462-3065	4950	Pot	tential TD Population	126,551		nsportation	
1,2014 2015 Vehicle Data 2013 2014 2015 Vehicle Miles 1,507,078 1,348,015 2013 2014 36,170 Revenue Miles 1,507,078 1,348,015 49 48 49 48 48 48 48 48 48 49 48 48 48 48 49 48 48 48 48 49 48 48 48 49 49 48 48 49 48 48 49 48 48 49 48 48 49 49 48 48 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49<	6013 2014 2015 Vehicle Miles 1,507,078 1,34 7,894 29,314 36,170 Vehicle Miles 1,507,078 1,34 0 0 Revenue Miles 1,269,815 1,01 7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 7 7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 7 893 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 10 5,658 277,846 265,516 Driver Hours 96,106 10 5,658 277,846 265,516 Driver Hours 44,004,210 \$3,76 2,776 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,76 2,776 56,983 47,273 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,884,608 \$3,56 3,1105 79,321 Complaints Complaints 1,501 Add 2,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,504 Add 2,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip R	1,13 2014 2015 Vehicle Data 2013 2014 2015 Vehicle Miles 1,269,815 1,348,015 2013 2014 36,170 Vehicle Miles 1,269,815 1,013,177 48 44 41 44 41 44 41 44 41 44 41 44 41 44 41 44 41 44 41 44 44<	1,2014 2015 Vehicle Data 2013 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2013 2017 48	deklem@st.luciec	0.0rg	an	рнс	26,465	Dis	advantagec	
7,894 29,314 36,170 Vehicle Miles 1,507,078 1,348,015 0 0 0 Revenue Miles 1,269,815 1,013,177 1,719 124,967 128,733 Roadcalls 49 7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 7 5 9,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 118 95 8,152 100,613 Accidents 118 95 9,658 277,846 265,516 Inriver Hours 96,106 107,438 2,776 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,172 54,984 79,571 Commendations 23 7 5,538 46,924 79,571 Complaints 44 11 5,538 5,438 64,924 79,571 Complaints 44 11,811 5,538 17,946 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 34,684,608 \$3,568,295 6,558 277,846 <	7,894 29,314 36,170 Vehicle Miles 1,507,078 1,34 0 0 0 0 49 1,269,815 1,01 0,719 124,967 128,733 Revenue Miles 1,269,815 1,01 7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 7 7 893 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 10 5,658 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,76 2,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$3,884,608 \$3,56 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations \$3,884,608 \$3,56 5,438 64,924 79,571 Complaints 44 44 5,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 44 5,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 44 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 4 5,658 277,846 265,51	7,894 29,314 36,170 Vehicle Miles 1,507,078 1,348,013 0 0 Revenue Miles 1,269,815 1,013,177 1,719 123,565 100,613 Accidents 7 5 7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 118 95 883 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 5,658 277,846 265,516 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 2,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 2,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 3,438 64,924 79,571 Complaints 33,884,68 \$3,5767,646 5,438 64,924 79,571 Complaints 44 11 2,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 33,884,68 \$3,5767,646 5,558 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,881 1,486 30,	7,894 29,314 36,170 Vehicle Miles 1,509,015 1,348,015 0 0 0 0 49 48 1,152 124,967 128,733 Roadcalls 1,269,815 1,013,177 1,152 124,967 128,733 Roadcalls 49 48 1,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 118 95 893 0 0 Vehicles 118 95 893 0 0 Vehicles 118 95 893 0 0 O 107,438 96,106 107,438 5,658 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 8,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,768,295 5,438 64,924 79,571 Complaints 1,501 1,811 5,538 11,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 5,538 277,846 25,516 Avg. T	of Service	2013	2014	2015	Vehicle Data	2013	2014	2015
0 Revenue Miles 1,269,815 1,013,177 7,159 124,967 128,733 Roadcalls 49 48 7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 118 95 893 0 0 Vehicles 118 95 5,658 277,846 265,516 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 2,776 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 2,776 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 6,438 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 1,501 10.09 7,89 40,09 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para P	0 0 Revenue Miles 1,269/815 1,01 7/19 124,967 128,733 Roadcalls 7 7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 7 833 0 0 Vehicles 118 5,658 277,846 265,516 Financial and General Data 10 2,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,76 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 23 5,438 64,924 79,571 Complaints 44 44 2,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 44 2,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 44 2,539 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 44 2,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 4 4,66 30,289 29,503 Avg. Trips per Para Pass 17,01 2,80	0 Revenue Miles 1,269,815 1,013,177 7,152 123,565 100,613 Roadcalls 49 48 7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 7 5 893 0 0 Vehicles 118 95 893 277,846 265,516 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 9,578 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 8,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 8,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 7,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 1,466 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 0 0 283 Avig.	0 Revenue Miles 1,269,815 1,013,177 7,152 124,967 128,733 Roadcalls 49 48 7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 118 95 893 0 Vehicles 118 95 8,558 277,846 265,516 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 5,658 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,172 55,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,175 55,983 49,765 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,105 5,598 49,766 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,105 79,332 Compaints \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,533 12,105 79,332 Compaints \$1,501 1,811 5,538 12,406 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,881 6,638 277,846 265,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass	()	27,894	29,314	36,170	Vehicle Miles	1,507,078	1,348,015	1,347,434
7,719 124,967 128,733 Roadcalls 49 48 7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 7 5 893 0 0 Vehicles 118 95 893 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 5,658 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations \$2 7 2,239 91,874 10,294 Persenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 2,239 91,874 10,294 Descenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,821 6,658 25,503 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17,01 10,09 0 0 0	7,719 124,967 128,733 Roadcalls 49 7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 7 0 0 0 Wehicles 118 893 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 10 5,658 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,76 5,776 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,76 5,77 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,76 5,77 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,76 5,77 54,88 64,924 79,571 Commendations 1,501 44 5,638 10,294 Resempen No-Shows 1,501 41,594 4 5,638 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 4 1,486 30,289 29,503 Avg. Trips per Paire Hour 2,80 6,638 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Paire Paire Hour 2,8	7,719 124,967 128,733 Roadcalls 49 48 7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 7 5 833 0 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 833 0 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 833 0 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 8,558 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 8,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 8,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 8,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 8,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 41,594 40,851 8,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 1,501 1,811 1,486 30,289 29,503 Avg. Trips per Priver Hour 2,809 1,876 4,0404 </td <td>7,719 124,967 128,733 Roadcalls 49 48 7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 7 5 893 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 9,558 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 1,72 55,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 2,776 56,984 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 3,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 1,501 \$4,111 5,538 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6,658 277,846 265,516 Avg. Trips per Driver Hour 2,80 1,09</td> <td>•</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>Revenue Miles</td> <td>1,269,815</td> <td>1,013,177</td> <td>1,010,246</td>	7,719 124,967 128,733 Roadcalls 49 48 7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 7 5 893 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 9,558 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 1,72 55,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 2,776 56,984 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 3,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 1,501 \$4,111 5,538 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6,658 277,846 265,516 Avg. Trips per Driver Hour 2,80 1,09	•	0	0	0	Revenue Miles	1,269,815	1,013,177	1,010,246
7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 7 5 893 0 0 Vehicles 118 95 893 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 8,558 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 2,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 6,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 2,239 91,874 10,294 Pessenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 2,239 91,874 10,294 Dessenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6,658 277,846 265,503 Avg. Trips per Driver Hour 2,80 2,31 6,658 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Paratransit Trip 13,50 14,99 7,559	7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 7 893 0 0 Vehicles 118 893 0 0 Poiver Hours 96,106 10 5,658 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,76 2,75 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,76 8,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,76 8,438 64,924 79,571 Complaints 23 23 8,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 44 2,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 44 2,239 91,874 10,294 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 4 6,58 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 1,501 2,80 8,787 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 2,80 8,787 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Para Hour <td>7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 7 5 893 0 0 Vehicles 118 95 893 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 8,558 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 2,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 2,176 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 2,176 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,767,646 3,177 51,060 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 4,884 64,924 79,571 Complaints 23 7 5,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 23 7 5,533 11,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,810 6 0 0</td> <td>7,152 113,565 100,613 Accidents 7 5 883 0 0 0 0 118 95 883 0 0 0 0 0 107,438 95 5,658 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,478 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 3,767,646 5,438 64,924 79,571 Complaints 23 3,767,646 5,438 64,924 79,532 Complaints 33 384,68 \$3,568,295 5,438 64,924 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 11,811 5,538 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6,558 277,846 265,516 Metrormance Measures 41,594 40,851 7 28,337 44,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Para Pass. 17,011 10,09 8,658 277,846 265,702 Avg. Trips per Para Para Pa</td> <td></td> <td>150,719</td> <td>124,967</td> <td>128,733</td> <td>Roadcalls</td> <td>49</td> <td>48</td> <td>48</td>	7,152 123,565 100,613 Accidents 7 5 893 0 0 Vehicles 118 95 893 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 8,558 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 2,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 2,176 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 2,176 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,767,646 3,177 51,060 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 4,884 64,924 79,571 Complaints 23 7 5,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 23 7 5,533 11,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,810 6 0 0	7,152 113,565 100,613 Accidents 7 5 883 0 0 0 0 118 95 883 0 0 0 0 0 107,438 95 5,658 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,478 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 3,767,646 5,438 64,924 79,571 Complaints 23 3,767,646 5,438 64,924 79,532 Complaints 33 384,68 \$3,568,295 5,438 64,924 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 11,811 5,538 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6,558 277,846 265,516 Metrormance Measures 41,594 40,851 7 28,337 44,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Para Pass. 17,011 10,09 8,658 277,846 265,702 Avg. Trips per Para Para Pa		150,719	124,967	128,733	Roadcalls	49	48	48
0 0 Vehicles 118 95 893 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 5,658 277,846 265,516 Enhancial and General Data 107,438 2,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,568,295 5,533 12,105 79,332 Commendations 23 7 5,533 12,105 79,332 Commendations 1,501 1,811 5,539 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,831 1,486 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Milles 0.46 0.37 0 2,837 Avg. Trips per Para Pass 17,01 10.09 3,876 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass 17,04 2,804 0 0 0 <td< td=""><td>0 0 Vehicles 118 893 0 0 0 893 0 0 0 5,658 277,846 265,516 96,106 10 2,776 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,76 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,76 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 8,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 2,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 4 1,486 30,289 29,503 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 2 8,937 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 9,937 64,045 265,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 0 0 0 Cost per Trip 2.520 0,737 0</td><td>0 0 Vehicles 118 95 893 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 5,658 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 2,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 7,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 23 7 5,538 12,105 79,332 Complaints 1,501 1,811 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6,65 25,516 Unmet Trip Requests 1,4594 40,881 7 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 2,80 2,31 8,876 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Trip 17.01 10.09 0 0 0 Cost per Trip 2,829</td><td>0 Vehicles 118 95 893 0 Vehicles 118 95 893 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 8,658 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 8,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 8,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 8,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 2,239 91,874 10,294 Revenues \$3,668,295 \$3,668,295 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 1,501 1,811 1,486 30,289 25,503 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 0.46 0.37 6,658 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 7,339 179,422 167,199 Cost per Trip 0.33 2.75 2.8,08 7,539 179,422 167,199</td><td></td><td>117,152</td><td>123,565</td><td>100,613</td><td>Accidents</td><td>7</td><td>ιΩ</td><td>8</td></td<>	0 0 Vehicles 118 893 0 0 0 893 0 0 0 5,658 277,846 265,516 96,106 10 2,776 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,76 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,76 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 8,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 2,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 4 1,486 30,289 29,503 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 2 8,937 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 9,937 64,045 265,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 0 0 0 Cost per Trip 2.520 0,737 0	0 0 Vehicles 118 95 893 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 5,658 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 2,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 7,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 23 7 5,538 12,105 79,332 Complaints 1,501 1,811 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6,65 25,516 Unmet Trip Requests 1,4594 40,881 7 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 2,80 2,31 8,876 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Trip 17.01 10.09 0 0 0 Cost per Trip 2,829	0 Vehicles 118 95 893 0 Vehicles 118 95 893 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 8,658 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 8,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 8,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 8,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 2,239 91,874 10,294 Revenues \$3,668,295 \$3,668,295 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 1,501 1,811 1,486 30,289 25,503 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 0.46 0.37 6,658 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 7,339 179,422 167,199 Cost per Trip 0.33 2.75 2.8,08 7,539 179,422 167,199		117,152	123,565	100,613	Accidents	7	ιΩ	8
893 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 5,658 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 2,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,438 12,105 79,332 Commendations 23 23 7 6,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints A4 11 5,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 6,533 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 1,534 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 1,486 30,289 29,503 Avg. Trips per Driver Hour 2,804 40,851 1,486 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Para Para Para Para Para Para Pa	893 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 10 5,658 277,846 265,516 Financial and General Data 10 10 2,76 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,76 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,76 3,172 51,960 49,046 Commendations 23 3,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 23 5,539 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 44 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 4 1,486 30,289 29,503 Parsenger No-Shows 1,501 4 1,486 30,289 29,503 Milles between Roadcalls 0,46 4 1,876 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17,01 1,01 3,876 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17,01 5,20 4,658 179,422 1	893 0 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 5,658 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 2,75 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 3,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 23 4 11 2,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 5,558 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,534 40,881 4,66 2,829 29,503 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 28,084 9,937 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Driver Hour 2,80 2,31 1,814 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17,01 10,09 0 0 Cost per Trip 2,829 2,829 0 0 Cost per Para Pass. 17,01 10,09 0 0 Cost per Para Para Pass.	893 0 Driver Hours 96,106 107,438 5,658 277,846 265,516 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 2,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,176 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,438 47,273 Commendations 23 7 5,438 12,105 79,571 Commendations 23 7 6,533 12,105 79,571 Commendations 23 7 6,533 12,105 79,571 Commendations 23 7 6,533 12,105 79,571 Commendations 44 11 6,558 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 1,486 30,289 29,503 Avg. Trips per Para Paras 5,804 40,8851 1,486 30,289 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Paras 1,794 40,896 3,876 4,090 2,829 Avg. Tri			0	0	Vehicles	118	95	93
5,658 277,846 265,516 Financial and General Data 2,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 2,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,767,646 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 3,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 2,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 2,239 91,874 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 1,486 30,289 29,503 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 28,084 2,00 0 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17,01 10,09 3,00 0 0 Cost per Trip 13,50 14,94 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17,01 10,09 0 0 0 Cost per Trip 5,20 14,94 5,558 277,846 265,516	5,658 277,846 265,516 Financial and General Data 2,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,76 3,172 51,960 49,046 Commendations 23 23 3,172 51,960 49,046 Commendations 23 23 3,438 64,924 79,571 Complaints 23 23 5,539 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 44 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 4 1,486 30,289 29,503 Performance Measures 41,594 4 1,486 30,289 29,503 Milles between Roadcalls 30,757 2 0 0 283 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17,01 30,757 2 3,937 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17,01 5.20 3,559 179,422 167,199 Cost per Trip Cost per Total Mile 0.933	5,658 277,846 Efinancial and General Data 2,276 56,983 47,273 \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 5,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 2,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 1,486 30,289 29,503 Performance Measures Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 0 2,829 Avg. Trips per Driver Hour 2,809 2,31 3,876 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pars 17.01 10.09 0 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.56 5,658 277,846 265,516 Cost per Trip 14.55 34.56 6,658 265,516 Avg. Trips pe	5,658 277,846 265,516 Financial and General Data Cy76 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,648 5,438 64,924 79,332 Commendations 23 7 2,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 1,486 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 1,486 30,289 29,503 Avg. Trips per Para Para Para 1,594 40,8851 8,937 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Para 10.09 23.1 8,757 2,829 Cost per Printensit Trip 13.50 13.56 1,794 2,65,712 Cost per Paratransit Trip 13.56 14.99 1,794 2,65,516 Cost per Paratransit Trip 14.55 34.56 2,658		893	0	0	Driver Hours	96,106	107,438	81,500
Financial and General Data Financial and General Data 5,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 2,239 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 1,486 30,289 29,503 Hines between Roadcalls 0.46 0.37 1,486 30,289 29,503 Miles between Roadcalls 0.46 0.37 1,486 30,289 29,503 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 28,084 3,937 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 3,876 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 0 0 0 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 5,658 <td>Financial and General Data Financial and General Data 2,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,76 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,56 5,438 64,924 79,571 Complaints 23 8,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 2,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 2,239 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 4 1,486 30,289 29,503 Performance Measures Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0 283 Avg. Trips per Driver Hour 2.80 3,876 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 0 0 0 Cost per Trip 5.20 5,658 277,846 265,516 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 5,658 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93</td> <td>Financial and General Data Financial and General Data 5,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 2,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 2,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 1,239 10,294 Dessenger No-Shows 41,594 40,851 1,486 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.45 1,486 30,289 29,503 Ang. Trips per Para Pass. 2,80 3,937 64,045 65,702 Ang. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 0 0 0 Cost per Trip 5,28 34,56 5,658 277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14,59 14,99 0 0 0 0 0 <td< td=""><td>Financial and General Data Financial and General Data 5,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,438 64,924 79,332 Commendations 23 7 5,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 5,538 21,874 10,294 Descenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 5,538 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,881 1,486 30,289 29,503 Performance Measures A1,594 40,881 1,486 30,289 29,503 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 28,084 3,937 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 3,876 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 3,559 179,422 167,199 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 34.56 5,558</td><td></td><td>296,658</td><td>277,846</td><td>265,516</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<></td>	Financial and General Data Financial and General Data 2,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,76 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,56 5,438 64,924 79,571 Complaints 23 8,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 2,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 2,239 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 4 1,486 30,289 29,503 Performance Measures Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0 283 Avg. Trips per Driver Hour 2.80 3,876 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 0 0 0 Cost per Trip 5.20 5,658 277,846 265,516 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 5,658 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93	Financial and General Data Financial and General Data 5,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 2,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 2,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 1,239 10,294 Dessenger No-Shows 41,594 40,851 1,486 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.45 1,486 30,289 29,503 Ang. Trips per Para Pass. 2,80 3,937 64,045 65,702 Ang. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 0 0 0 Cost per Trip 5,28 34,56 5,658 277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14,59 14,99 0 0 0 0 0 <td< td=""><td>Financial and General Data Financial and General Data 5,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,438 64,924 79,332 Commendations 23 7 5,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 5,538 21,874 10,294 Descenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 5,538 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,881 1,486 30,289 29,503 Performance Measures A1,594 40,881 1,486 30,289 29,503 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 28,084 3,937 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 3,876 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 3,559 179,422 167,199 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 34.56 5,558</td><td></td><td>296,658</td><td>277,846</td><td>265,516</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Financial and General Data Financial and General Data 5,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,438 64,924 79,332 Commendations 23 7 5,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 5,538 21,874 10,294 Descenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 5,538 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,881 1,486 30,289 29,503 Performance Measures A1,594 40,881 1,486 30,289 29,503 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 28,084 3,937 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 3,876 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 3,559 179,422 167,199 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 34.56 5,558		296,658	277,846	265,516				
Ly276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 3,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 3,172 51,960 49,046 Commendations 23 23 5,438 64,924 79,571 Complaints 44 11 2,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 2,239 91,874 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 1,486 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 1,486 30,289 29,503 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 2,876 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 3,876 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trip per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 0 0 Cost per Trip 5.20 14.94	2,276 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,76 8,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,56 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 2,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 3,533 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 2,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 2,239 91,874 10,294 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 4 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 4 1,486 30,289 29,503 Miles between Roadcalls 0.46 6 1,486 30,289 29,503 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 2,80 3,937 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 2,80 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7,359 179,422 167,199 Cost p	52,726 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 5,172 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 5,438 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 5,438 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 5,538 12,105 79,332 Descenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 5,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 5,658 277,846 265,516 Londerts per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 1,486 30,289 29,503 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 28,084 5,937 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 3,876 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 6,658 179,422 167,199 Cost per Trip 6,53 14,59 34.56 6,658 277,846 265,516 Cost per Trip Miles	Figure 56,983 47,273 Expenses \$4,004,210 \$3,767,646 \$1,72 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,558,295 \$1,72 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 \$1,72 79,332 Complaints 44 11 \$1,239 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 \$,658 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 L486 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 \$,937 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Driver Hour 2.80 2.31 \$,876 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 \$,658 277,846 265,516 Cost per Trip 13.50 14.94 \$,658 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	ips By Trip Pu	rpose			Financial and General Da	ita		
2 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 8 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 3 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 9 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.56 0 0 Cost per Trip 5.20 14.94 0 0 Cost per Trip 5.20 14.94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	2 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,56 8 64,924 79,571 Complaints 44 3 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 9 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 4 9 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 6 6 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 2.80 6 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 2.80 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Trip 5.20 5.20 9 179,422 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93	2 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 8 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 3 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 9 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Milles 0.46 0.37 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.56 9 179,422 265,516 Cost per Trip 5.20 14.94 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Trip 14.55 34.56 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	2 51,960 49,046 Revenues \$3,884,608 \$3,568,295 8 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 3 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 9 1,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6 30,289 29,503 Miles between Roadcalls 0.46 0.37 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 8 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 9 0 0 Cost per Trip 5.20 14.94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75		92,276	56,983	47,273	Expenses	\$4,004,210	\$3,767,646	\$4,506,410
8 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 3 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 9 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Pare Noadcalls 30,757 28,084 8 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Trip 5.20 14,94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 34.56 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Trip 5.20 14.94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	8 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 3 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 9 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 4 6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Roadcalls 30,757 2 6 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 13.50 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Trip 5.20 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Trip 5.20 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93	8 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 3 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 9 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6 30,289 29,503 Performance Measures 6,37 28,084 7 64,045 65,702 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 28,084 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.56 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Trip 5.20 14.94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	8 64,924 79,571 Commendations 23 7 3 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 9 1,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6 30,289 29,503 Performance Measures Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Pare Roadcalls 30,757 28,084 8 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Trip 5.20 14.94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Priver Hour 14,55 34,56 9 177,424 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75		63,172	51,960	49,046	Revenues	\$3,884,608	\$3,568,295	\$3,783,266
3 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 9 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 2.80 2.31 6 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Trip 5.20 14.94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	3 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 9 1,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 4 277,846 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 2 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 0 0 0 Cost per Trip Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93	3 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 9 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 1 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 2 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 3 0 0 Cost per Trip 2.80 2.80 2.31 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 0 Cost per Trip 5.20 14.94 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	3 12,105 79,332 Complaints 44 11 9 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 8 277,846 265,716 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 9 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	ā	45,438	64,924	79,571	Commendations	23	7	7
9 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 1 30,289 29,503 0 283 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 1 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 0 Cost per Trip Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	9 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 4 1,501 Unmet Trip Requests 1,501	9 91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 Cost per Trip Requests 1,501 1,811 Performance Measures Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 28,084 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 Cost per Trip Cost per Trip 5.20 13.56 Cost per Trip Cost per Trip 5.20 14.55 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	91,874 10,294 Passenger No-Shows 1,501 1,811 8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 1,594 40,851 Derformance Measures 6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 28,084 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 6 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 7 Cost per Trip Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14,94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 34.56 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75		13,533	12,105	79,332	Complaints	4	11	디
8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass 2.80 2.31 6 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass 17.01 10.09 0 0 Cost per Trip 5.20 14.94 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 4 Performance Measures Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 2 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 2 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 Cost per Trip Cost per Trip 5.20 Cost per Trip Cost per Trip 5.20 Cost per Total Mile 0.93	8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass 2.80 2.31 6 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass 17.01 10.09 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.56 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	8 277,846 265,516 Unmet Trip Requests 41,594 40,851 6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 6 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.56 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	Other	82,239	91,874	10,294	Passenger No-Shows	1,501	1,811	1,858
6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass 2.80 2.31 6 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass 17.01 10.09 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 14.94 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0 283 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 2 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Driver Hour 2.80 6 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93	6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 2.80 2.31 6 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.56 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass 2.80 2.31 6 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass 17.01 10.09 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 14.94 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75		296,658	277,846	265,516	Unmet Trip Requests	41,594	40,851	28,831
6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Priver Hour 2.80 2.31 6 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass 17.01 10.09 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.50 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0 283 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 2 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Driver Hour 2.80 6 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93	6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 0 283 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 28,084 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass 2.80 2.31 6 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass 17.01 10.09 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 14.94 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	6 30,289 29,503 Accidents per 100,000 Miles 0.46 0.37 7 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass 2.80 2.31 6 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass 17.01 10.09 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 14.94 9 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 8 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	ips By Funding	g Source			Performance Measures			
0 283 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 28,084 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 Cost per Trip 5.20 13.50 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	0 283 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 2 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Driver Hour 2.80 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93	0 283 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 28,084 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.56 179,422 167,199 Cost per Priver Hour 5.20 14.94 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	0 283 Miles between Roadcalls 30,757 28,084 64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 Cost per Trip 5.20 13.50 13.56 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75		21,486	30,289	29,503	Accidents per 100,000 Miles	0.46	0.37	0.59
64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Driver Hour 2.80 2.31 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.56 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 34.56 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Driver Hour 2.80 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 Cost per Total Mile 0.93	64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Driver Hour 2.80 2.31 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.50 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 34.56 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	64,045 65,702 Avg. Trips per Driver Hour 2.80 2.31 4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.56 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 34.56 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75		0	0	283	Miles between Roadcalls	30,757	28,084	28,072
4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.50 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 34.56 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 Cost per Total Mile 0.93	4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.50 13.50 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 34.56 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	4,090 2,829 Avg. Trips per Para Pass. 17.01 10.09 0 0 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.50 13.56 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 34.56 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75		93,937	64,045	65,702	Avg. Trips per Driver Hour	2.80	2.31	2.81
0 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.56 13.56 13.56 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	0 Cost per Trip 13.50 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 Cost per Total Mile 0.93	0 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.56 13.56 13.56 13.56 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	0 Cost per Trip 13.50 13.56 13.56 179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 277,846 265,516 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75		3,876	4,090	2,829	Avg. Trips per Para Pass.	17.01	10.09	11.88
179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 34.56 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 Cost per Total Mile 0.93	179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 34.56 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	179,422 167,199 Cost per Paratransit Trip 5.20 14.94 277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 34.56 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75		0	0	0	Cost per Trip	13.50	13.56	16.97
277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 34.56 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 Cost per Total Mile 0.93	277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 34.56 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	277,846 265,516 Cost per Driver Hour 14.55 34.56 Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75		177,359	179,422	167,199	Cost per Paratransit Trip	5.20	14.94	19.59
Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	Cost per Total Mile 0.93	Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75	Cost per Total Mile 0.93 2.75		296,658	277,846	265,516	Cost per Driver Hour	14.55	34.56	55.14
								Cost per Total Mile	0.93	2.75	3,33
					-	2 2 2 3					11 Page

Level of Competition Worksheet 2

1. Inventory of Transportation Operators in the Service Area

	Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D
	Operators	Operators	Include Trips	% of all Trips
	Available	Contracted in the		!
		System.		
Private Non-Profit	1			100%
Private For-Profit				
Government				
Public Transit				
Agency				
Total	1			100%

LULAI		I				100	7 70
2.	How many o	f the operators a	re coordination	contract	ors? <u>1</u>		
3.	Of the operat		he local coordir All	nated sys	stem, how many ha	ve the cap	ability
	Does the CT	C have the abilit	y to expand?	Yes			
1.	Indicate the date the latest transportation operator was brought into the system. 1991						
5.	Does the CTC have a competitive procurement process? Yes						
5.	In the past five (5) years, how many times have the following methods been used in selection of the transportation operators? Low bid Requests for proposals				in		
	1 Requ	ests for qualifica	tions		Requests for inter	ested parti	ies
		tiation only					
	operators?	Which of the methods listed on the previous page was used to select the current operators?					
	Requests fo	or qualifications					

7. transpo		ich of the following items are incorporate on operators for inclusion in the coordin		
		Capabilities of operator	х	Scope of Work
		Age of company	<u> </u>	Safety Program
		Previous experience		Capacity
		Management		Training Program
		Qualifications of staff		Insurance
	x Resources x Accident History			
	x Economies of Scale x Quality			
	x Contract Monitoring x Community Knowledge			
:	***************************************	Reporting Capabilities		Cost of the Contracting Process
	x Financial Strength			Price
		Performance Bond		Distribution of Costs
		Responsiveness to Solicitation		Other: (list)
8.	If a competitive bid or request for proposals has been used to select the transportation operators, to how many potential operators was the request distributed in the most recently completed process? Nation How many responded? 6 The request for bids/proposals was distributed:			
		Locally	Statew	ide X Nationally
9.		s the CTC reviewed the possibilities of n transportation provision (such as fuel,		

Level of Availability (Coordination) Worksheet 3

Planning – What are the coordinated plans for transporting the TD population?
Continue to expand multi-modal mobility opportunities in the St. Lucie County area in coordination with human services transportation providers, private transportation providers, and other transportation partners.
Public Information – How is public information distributed about transportation services in the community?
Public information is distributed through press releases, public hearings, public notices, brochures, stanchions, word-of-mouth, community events, presentations to groups, and a website.
Certification – How are individual certifications and registrations coordinated for local TD transportation services?
Only one provider.
Eligibility Records – What system is used to coordinate which individuals are eligible for special transportation services in the community?
Potential TD passengers must fill out an application which is reviewed for eligibility.

Call Intake – To what extent is transportation coordinated to ensure that Reservationist on the first call?	t a user can reach a
Only one provider.	
Reservations – What is the reservation process? How is the duplication prevented?	ion of a reservation
24 hours in advance up to two weeks. Only one provider.	
Trip Allocation – How is the allocation of trip requests to providers coord	inated?
Only one provider.	
Scheduling – How is the trip assignment to vehicles coordinated?	
Only one provider.	

Transport – How are the actual transportation services and modes of transportation coordinated?
Only one provider.
Dispatching – How is the real time communication and direction of drivers coordinated?
Two-way radio communication and Automatic Vehicle Locator (AVL) on each of the buses.
General Service Monitoring – How is the overseeing of transportation operators coordinated?
Monthly customer service quality assurance calls are made by the CTC. Only one provider.
Daily Service Monitoring – How are real-time resolutions to trip problems coordinated?
Dispatcher monitors trip activities. Office staff is available the same hours as the transportation staff.

Trip Reconciliation – How is the confirmation of official trips coordinated?
Drivers through AVL (Automatic Vehicle Locator) input trip arrival, departure and trip mileage. Only one provider.
Billing – How is the process for requesting and processing fares, payments, and reimbursements coordinated?
Only one provider.
Reporting – How is operating information reported, compiled, and examined?
Compiled on a daily basis for examination by management.
Cost Resources – How are costs shared between the coordinator and the operators (s) in order to reduce the overall costs of the coordinated program?
Only one provider.

Information Resources – How is information shared with other organizations to ensure smooth service provision and increased service provision?
Only one provider. Users are made aware of services through various public outreach efforts such as brochures, stanchions, websites, and public service announcements.
Overall – What type of formal agreement does the CTC have with organizations, which provide transportation in the community?
-
transportation in the community?
transportation in the community?

Annual Quality Assurance Self-Certification

Drug	Drug and Alcohol Compliance		
	 Date Complet 	10/10 10/10/20	

	Monitoring (List each	operator - use a separate sheet if necessary)
•	Operator Name	Council on Aging/Community Transit
•	Date of Monitoring	On-Going
	Finding (s)	None
•	Recommendation (s)	
0	Current Status	

Safety		view	
	•	Inspecting Entity	FDOT
	•	Date of Monitoring	2/19/14
	•	Finding (s)	Signed Adoption Statement, WCP
	•	Recommendation (s)	
	•	Current Status	SSPP/SPP Updated

Date (s): 10/15
Date (s): NA
Date (s): Drivers must have before hiring
Date (s): NA
Date (s) Passenger Assistance 10/15 Disability Awareness 2/15 Universal Precautions/Infectious Diseases 9/15 Physical/Mental Awareness 2/15 Safety/Security Training 4/15 Wheelchair Awareness 10/15 Substance Abuse Awareness 3/15 Hurricane Preparedness 7/15 Hazardous Awareness 9/15

By signing this form, I certify that Community Transit for St. Lucie County has taken the above actions within the last year. I understand that providing false information may result in actions by the Commission.

Darrell J. Drummond, President/CEO

Date: 10/9/15

AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

Board/Committee: St. Lucie LCB

Meeting Date: June 15, 2016

Item Number: 7b

Item Title: Trip and Equipment Grant Allocation and Trip

Rate

Item Origination: Florida Commission for the Transportation

Disadvantaged (FCTD)

UPWP Reference: Task 3.8 – Transportation Disadvantaged (TD)

Program

Requested Action: Approve, approve with conditions, or do not

approve.

Staff Recommendation: Because the Trip and Equipment Grant

Allocation and Trip Rate support transportation services and equipment that is not provided by any other funding source, it is recommended that the LCB approve the Trip and Equipment

Grant Allocation and Trip Rate.

Attachment

- Staff report
- FY 2016/17 TD Allocations Notice
- Service Rates Worksheet
- Trip & Equipment Grant Application Form



COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT

MEMORANDUM 16-165

TO:

St. Lucie County Local Coordinating Board for the Transportation

Disadvantaged

THROUGH: Beth Ryder, Community Services Director

FROM:

Murriah Dekle, Transit Manager

DATE:

June 1, 2016

SUBJECT:

Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged (FCTD) Trip and

Equipment Allocation and Trip Rate

Background:

The FCTD annually allocates funds to state Community Transportation Coordinators for nonsponsored transportation disadvantaged trips. Non-sponsored refers to those trips that are not paid for by another funding source, such as Medicaid. Funds are used to purchase transportation trips for those who qualify under the transportation disadvantaged criteria.

The total allocation for St. Lucie County for the state fiscal year (FY17) is \$607,315. This amount is comprised of \$546,584 from the commission and a local match of \$60,719 from the Transit Municipal Services Taxing Unit.

The annual agreement includes acceptance of the award and modification of the rates of reimbursement. The current reimbursement rate for wheelchair trips is \$29.85, increasing to \$30.57 for FY17. The ambulatory rate is \$17.41, increasing to \$17.83.

The grant will commence on July 1, 2016. The Trip and Equipment grant, revised trip rate, and application resolution is scheduled for review and approval by the Board of County Commissioners on June 7th. Trips will be provided by Community Transit, the contracted provider.

Attachments:

FY 2016-17 TD Allocations Notice

Service Rates Worksheet

Trip & Equipment Grant Application Form

FY2016-17 Transportation Disadvantaged Trip and Equipment Grant Allocations

	Trip	& Equipment Gr	ant	-	Voluntary Dollar			Proviso Funding		
County	2016-17	Local Match	Total	Funding	Local Match	Total	Funding	Local Match	Total	2016-17
•	Allocation	(10%)	Funds		(10%)	Funds		(10%)	Funds	Total Funds
Alachua	\$645,455	\$71,717	\$717,172	\$99	\$11	\$110	\$96,785 \$27,701	\$10,754 \$3,078	\$107,539 \$30,778	\$824,821 \$261,574
Baker	\$207,703		\$230,781	\$13 \$19	\$1 \$2	\$14 \$21	\$69,995	\$7,777	\$77,772	\$575,667
Bay Bradford	\$448,087 \$123,750	\$49,787 \$13,750	\$497,874 \$137,500	\$4	\$0	\$4	\$17,372	\$1,930	\$19,303	\$156,808
Brevard	\$1,649,325	1	\$1,832,584	\$199	\$22	\$221	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,832,805
Broward	\$3,803,488		\$4,226,098	\$938	\$104	\$1,042	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,227,140
Calhoun	\$186,480		\$207,200	\$2	\$0	\$2	\$18,572	\$2,064	\$20,636	\$227,838
Charlotte	\$368,643		\$409,604	\$40	\$4	\$44	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$409,648
Citrus	\$438,503		\$487,226	\$25	\$3	\$28	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$487,253
Clay	\$423,658		\$470,731	\$84		\$93	\$84,883	\$9,431	\$94,315 \$0	\$565,139 \$916,071
Collier	\$824,391		\$915,990	\$73	\$8 \$1	\$81 \$14	\$0 \$33,481	\$0 \$3,720	\$37,201	\$378,431
Columbia	\$307,093 \$217,447		\$341,215 \$241,608	\$13 \$82	\$9	\$91	\$19,872	\$2,208	\$22,080	\$263,778
DeSoto Dixie	\$191,638		\$241,000	\$0		\$0	\$18,247	\$2,027	\$20,275	\$233,206
Duvai	\$1,596,367		\$1,773,742	\$563	\$63	\$625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,774,367
Escambia	\$666,815		\$740,906	\$251		\$279	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$741,184
Flagler	\$297,747		\$330,830	\$31	\$3	\$35	\$56,417	\$6,269	\$62,685	\$393,550
Franklin	\$172,155	\$19,128	\$191,284	\$1		\$1	\$17,439	\$1,938	\$19,377	\$210,661
Gadsden	\$362,045		\$402,272	\$22		\$24	\$50,790		\$56,433	\$458,730 \$133,695
Gilchrist	\$109,563		\$121,736	\$1		\$1 \$0	\$10,761 \$19,319	\$1,196 \$2,147	\$11,957 \$21,465	\$239,747
Glades	\$196,454		\$218,282	\$0 \$0		\$0	\$22,426		\$24,917	\$227,110
Gulf	\$181,973 \$141,461		\$202,192 \$157,179	\$0 \$0		\$0 \$0	\$12,875	·	\$14,306	\$171,485
Hamilton Hardee	\$238,768	,	\$265,298	\$5		\$6	\$25,547		\$28,386	\$293,689
Hendry	\$330,679		\$367,421	\$23		\$26	\$33,579		\$37,310	\$404,756
Hernando	\$333,687		\$370,763	\$41		\$46	\$61,947	\$6,883	\$68,830	\$439,639
Highlands	\$454,538	\$50,504	\$505,042	\$4		\$4	\$65,262		\$72,513	\$577,560
Hillsborough	\$1,913,361		\$2,125,956	\$263		\$292	\$389,932		\$433,258	\$2,559,506
Holmes	\$196,010		\$217,789	\$0					\$28,006 \$52,706	\$245,795 \$408,975
Indian River	\$320,605		\$356,228	\$37	\$4		\$47,435 \$46,527		\$52,706 \$51,697	\$523,529
Jackson	\$424,614		\$471,794	\$34					\$21,541	\$217,407
Jefferson	\$176,278 \$144,401		\$195,864 \$160,445	\$1 \$0					\$14,719	\$175,164
Lafayette Lake	\$731,924		\$813,249	\$131						\$813,395
Lee	\$780,132		\$866,813	\$687						
Leon	\$548,09		\$608,994	\$125	\$14					\$609,133
Levy	\$377,234	4 \$41,915	\$419,149							\$474,013
Liberty	\$254,250		\$282,504	\$(\$316,055 \$274,531
Madison	\$221,318		\$245,909	\$(\$28,622 \$0	\$713,102
Manatee	\$641,680		\$712,985	\$105 \$156						
Marion	\$816,141 \$322,831		\$906,824 \$358,710					,,,,,		\$421,587
Martin Miami-Dade	\$5,880,96		\$6,534,409	******						\$6,536,354
Monroe	\$370,00		\$411,112					\$6,240		
Nassau	\$294,88		\$327,644		3 \$4	\$37				
Okaloosa	\$508,98		\$565,538							
Okeechobee	\$228,06		\$253,402							
Orange	\$2,430,58		\$2,700,651	\$740						
Osceola	\$1,065,43		\$1,183,818 \$3,267,691	\$24 \$1,12						
Palm Beach	\$2,940,92 \$682,93		\$3,267,691 \$758,815							·····
Pasco Pinellas	\$2,860,80		\$3,178,674							
Polk	\$1,240,36					·			\$0	
Putnam	\$408,02					\$11	\$69,02			
Saint Johns	\$508,42		\$564,920	\$14	3 \$16					
Saint Lucie	\$546,47	5 \$60,719								
Santa Rosa	\$395,10		\$439,008							
Sarasota	\$1,040,58									
Seminole	\$767,01									
Sumter	\$291,81 \$239,73		\$324,239 \$266,371							
Suwannee Taylor	\$295,70		\$328,566							\$363,065
Union	\$94,21				1 \$	\$1		0 \$1,226	\$12,256	
Volusia	\$1,190,14					2 \$121				
Wakulla	\$196,66	2 \$21,851	\$218,513	\$	5 \$					
Walton	\$389,95									
Washington	\$222,77	0 \$24,752	\$247,522	2 \$	0 \$	0 \$0	\$26,07	6 \$2,897	7 \$28,973	\$276,496
TOTALS	\$47,877,37	71 \$5,319,708	\$53,197,079	\$9,71	6 \$1,08	0 \$10,796	\$2,300,00	0 \$255,556	\$2,655,556	
										Rev. 04/08/2016

Rev. 04/08/2016



Transportation Disadvantaged Trust Fund Service Rates Form

Community Transportation Coordinator (CTC)	Murriah Dekle
Service Rate Effective Date	7/1/2016

Grant Ag	greement Service Rates	
Type of Service Transportation Mode	Unit of Measure	Cost Per Unit
* Ambulatory	Select Unit of Measure	\$17.83
* Wheel Chair	Select Unit of Measure	\$30.57
* Stretcher	Select Unit of Measure	Enter \$ Per Unit
Bus Pass – Daily	Pass	Enter \$ Per Unit
Bus Pass – Weekly	Pass	Enter \$ Per Unit
Bus Pass – Monthly	Pass	Enter \$ Per Unit
Additional Service Transportation Mode	Enter Unit of Measure	Enter \$ Per Unit
Additional Service Transportation Mode	Enter Unit of Measure	Enter \$ Per Unit
Additional Service Transportation Mode	Enter Unit of Measure	Enter \$ Per Unit
Additional Service Transportation Mode	Enter Unit of Measure	Enter \$ Per Unit
Additional Service Transportation Mode	Enter Unit of Measure	Enter \$ Per Unit
Additional Service Transportation Mode	Enter Unit of Measure.	Enter \$ Per Unit
Additional Service Transportation Mode	Enter Unit of Measure	Enter \$ Per Unit
Additional Service Transportation Mode	Enter Unit of Measure	Enter \$ Per Unit
Additional Service Transportation Mode	Enter Unit of Measure	Enter \$ Per Unit
Additional Service Transportation Mode	Enter Unit of Measure	Enter \$ Per Unit
Additional Service Transportation Mode	Enter Unit of Measure	Enter \$ Per Unit
Additional Service Transportation Mode	Enter Unit of Measure	Enter \$ Per Unit
Additional Service Transportation Mode	Enter Unit of Measure	Enter \$ Per Unit
Additional Service Transportation Mode	Enter Unit of Measure	Enter \$ Per Unit
Additional Service Transportation Mode	Enter Unit of Measure	Enter \$ Per Unit
Additional Service Transportation Mode	Enter Unit of Measure	Enter \$ Per Unit
Additional Service Transportation Mode	Enter Unit of Measure	Enter \$ Per Unit

^{*} Ambulatory, Wheel Chair and Stretcher must all use the <u>same Unit of Measure</u> either Trip or Passenger Mile; Cannot mix, all must be the same regardless of Transportation Mode.



Transportation Disadvantaged Trip & Equipment Grant Application Form

Grant Recipient Legal Name	Legal Name					
Federal Employer Identification Number						
Registered Address	2300 Virginia Avenue					
City and State	Fort Pierce, FL	34982				
Contact Person for this Grant	Beth Ryder	Phone Number Format 111-111-1111	772-462-1772			
E-Mail Address [Required]	ryderb@stlucieco.org	Fax Number Format 111-111-1111	772-462-1703			
Project Location [County(les)]	St. Lucie	Proposed Project Start Date	7/1/2016			
	Fiscal Year Budge					
		s Transferred from Planning Agency rant Amount – State Allocation [90%]	\$0			
	\$546 475 \$60,719					
	\$00,719					
	Grant Amount – Proviso [90%] Grant Amount – Proviso Match [10%]					
	Grant Amount – Proviso Match [10%] \$0 Voluntary Dollar Amount \$109					
	Local Match for Voluntary Dollars [In Kind] \$12					
	Local	Total Project Amount	\$607,315.00			
<u> </u>						
	Anticipated Capital Equ	jipment Request				
		Description of Capital Equipment	\$ Amount			
Enter Capital Equipment Description			\$0			
Enter Capital Equipment Description	\$0					
Enter Capital Equipment Description	\$0					
		apital Equipment Request Amount				
If Requesting	Capital Equipment Local Co	ordinating Board Review IS R	lequired			
This Application Form requesti by the St. Lucie Local Coordin		quipment has been review				
		<u>Enter Date</u>				
Signature of Local Coo	rdinating Board Chairperson					
I, Beth Ryder , as the authorize in this form is true and accura	ed Grant Recipient Represen te and is submitted in accord	tative, hereby certify that the lance with the grant applice	e information contained ation instructions.			
		<u>Enter Date</u>				
Signature of Grant Rec	ipient Representative	Date				

AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

Board/Committee: St. Lucie LCB

Meeting Date: June 15, 2016

Item Number: 7c

Item Title: Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan

(TDSP)/Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan (Coordinated Plan)

Minor Update

Item Origination: Florida Commission for the Transportation

Disadvantaged (FCTD)

UPWP Reference: Task 3.8 – Transportation Disadvantaged (TD)

Program

Requested Action: Approve, approve with conditions, or do not

approve.

Staff Recommendation: Because the determination of eligibility criteria

is critical for maintaining the integrity of the TD program and it is required that detailed eligibility information be contained in the TDSP, it is recommended that the TDSP Minor Update

be approved.

Attachment

- Staff report
- TD eligibility text
- TD application
- TD eligibility criteria

MEMORANDUM

TO: St. Lucie Local Coordinating Board for the Transportation

Disadvantaged (LCB)

THROUGH: Peter Buchwald

Executive Director

FROM: Marceia Lathou

Transit Program Manager

DATE: June 1, 2016

SUBJECT: Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan (TDSP)/

Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan (Coordinated Plan) Minor Update

BACKGROUND

The St. Lucie Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan (TDSP) addresses the public transportation needs of transportation disadvantaged (TD) persons in the TPO area. The most recent major update to the TDSP was adopted in September 2013. The TDSP covers a five-year period, with annual minor updates required for the interim years. The TDSP also fulfills the federal requirement for a Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan (Coordinated Plan).

The TDSP is jointly developed by TPO staff and the Community Transportation Coordinator (CTC) under the guidance and approval of the LCB. The TDSP contains Development, Service, and Quality Assurance sections.

The Service section of the TDSP includes information on TD eligibility to ensure that individuals are eligible to have a portion of their transportation cost subsidized using TD funds. An eligibility screening process is developed by the CTC and LCB. In addition, the Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged (FCTD) has established eligibility criteria that must be met. The FCTD eligibility criteria are listed below.

- No other funding available
- No other means of transportation available

May 31, 2016 Page 2 of 2

- Inability to use the fixed route public transit
- · Physical or mental disability
- Age
- Individual and household income
- No self-determinations allowed
- Ability to pay

The FCTD requires that state and locally established eligibility criteria be included in the Service Element of the TDSP within the eligibility section. This includes the eligibility application, the method by which eligibility will be determined, and a procedure to maintain customer eligibility screening and final determination.

ANALYSIS

A 2016 TDSP Minor Update was adopted by the LCB at its March 16, 2016 regular meeting. The TDSP Update was reviewed by FCTD staff and the review commented on the need to include additional information regarding TD eligibility. Based on this comment, the Eligibility section of the TDSP has been revised. The revised section is shown as an attachment. Also attached is the TD eligibility application and the combined LCB/FCTD eligibility criteria. If the proposed TDSP Minor Update changes are adopted by the LCB, the TDSP Minor Update TD Eligibility section will be replaced in its entirety with the revised text; the application and eligibility criteria will be inserted as appendices.

RECOMMENDATION

Because the determination of eligibility criteria is critical for maintaining the integrity of the TD program and it is required that detailed eligibility information be contained in the TDSP, it is recommended that the TDSP Minor Update be approved.

Eligibility

In order to be considered for ADA complementary service or Transportation Disadvantaged (TD) service, an individual is required to fill out an application verified by a physician regarding the nature of the disability and why the individual cannot use the fixed route system. Self-declarations shall not be allowed. The application is shown in Appendix C. The combined LCB/FCTD eligibility criteria are clearly detailed in Appendix D.

The application comes in three (3) different formats, English, Spanish and Creole. The application must be completed in its entirety by the individual seeking either ADA or TD eligibility. The first page of the application is for general information, name, address, phone number, date of birth, Medicaid number if applicable, etc. The second page of the application is specific for TD eligibility. This page ascertains whether the person has transportation by their own means or means of others and if their annual income exceeds 125% of the Federal Poverty Guidelines for households or individuals. The third and fourth page of the application addresses whether the person can use the fixed route and what type of disability they have to prevent them from using the fixed route. Page five through seven of the application asks questions to determine if there are any limits the applicant may have in using the fixed route. And lastly page eight and nine is the verification from the medical provider on the applicant's stated disability.

Community Transit (CT) will review the application and determine which program if any the individual is qualified for. All programs (3) year certification period. After three (3) years, the a three individual needs to recertify with Community Transit. If an individual incapable of filling out the application himself or Community Transit's staff will assist them in filling out The application eligibility determination process takes approximately twenty-one (21) days to complete. If the individual qualifies for ADA service, a membership card is mailed to the individual indicating that they are qualified for ADA service for three years and identifies the individual to receive a discounted rate. If the individual is qualified for other services, the individual will be notified.

Should an individual be denied services, notification will be provided explaining the reason for denial and advising the individual of the procedures to follow should they wish to appeal.

Transportation Disadvantaged (TD) Eligibility

The application process enables the reviewer to determine if the trip can be funded or performed by another agency or person or if the applicant can transport themselves. If this is the case TD eligibility is denied. The reviewer can also determine the person's age, disability according to ADA, income and if the person is able to use the fixed route system.

If the person is under 17 or 60 or over, cannot manipulate the fixed route, income is below 125% of the Federal Poverty Guidelines for households or individuals and the trip cannot be funded or performed by themselves, another agency or person, than the eligibility would be approved.

If the person has a disability according to ADA and cannot manipulate the fixed route, their income is below 125% of the Federal Poverty Guidelines for households or individuals and the trip cannot be funded or performed by themselves, another agency or person, than the eligibility would be approved.

Should an individual have a problem paying the fare, St. Lucie County will evaluate the individual according to their ability to pay and if they qualify, provide them with a pass to ride the system.

COMMUNITY TRANSIT

ST. LUCIE COUNTY, FL

1505 ORANGE AVENUE, FT. PIERCE, FLORIDA 34950 Phone: (772) 464-7433 * Fax: (772) 465-1682

ST. LUCIE ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM (SLATS) APPLICATION (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE)

IF YOU NEED THIS APPLICATION IN SPANISH OR CREOPLE,
PLEASE CALL THE OFFICE AT (772-464-7433, OPTION 1.
SI USTED NECESITA ESTA SOLICITUD EN ESPANOL. POR FAVOR
LLAME A LA OFICINA EN (772) 464-7433, opcion 1.
SI OU BEZWEN APLIKASYON SA A nan lang Panyol, tanpri,
Rele biwo a nan (772) 464-7433, OPSYON 1.

*** ALL QUESTIONS MUST BE ANSWERED ***

If you are the applicant requesting certification please skip to Part 1. If some other person on behalf of the applicant is filling out this application, please complete the following.
Name:
Relationship to Applicant:
Daytime Phone:
PART 1: GENERAL INFORMATION
Applicant's Name:
Street Address: Apt. No
City:State: FL Zip Code:
Mobile Home Park/Apartment Name:
Home phone #: Date of Birth:
Alternative phone #:Social Security #:
Sex: Medicaid #:
Are you a Veteran? Yes No or a Veteran's immediate family member? Yes No

TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED ELIGIBILITY (YOU MUST ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS)

1. Do you have your own car? YES NO
2. Do you have a valid driver's license?YESNO
3. Could another person transport you to your appointment?
ALWAYSSOMETIMESNEVER
4. Number of individuals you support
5. Annual Income: \$
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE – OFFICIAL USE ONLY Eligibility: Denied Reason for Denial: Reviewed by:
EMERGENCY CONTACT:
Name: Phone #
Alternative Phone #
Relationship:

Part 2: Eligibility Information	mation
---------------------------------	--------

(check all that apply):
I can use Treasure Coast Connector buses to go to some places, but in other places I cannot get to or from the bus stops.
I can use Treasure Coast Connector buses if they are equipped with wheelchair lifts.
Because of my disability, I can never use the Treasure Coast Connector bus service.
Part 3: Applicant's Disability
1. Describe your disability of health condition and explain in detail how it prevents
you from using Treasure Coast Connector bus service some of the time or all of the time.
you from using Treasure Coast Connector bus service some of the time or all of the time.
you from using Treasure Coast Connector bus service some of the time or all of the time.
you from using Treasure Coast Connector bus service some of the time or all of the time.
you from using Treasure Coast Connector bus service some of the time or all of the time.

ST. LUCIE ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM (SLATS) APPLICATION

3. Do you use any of the following mobility aids?	(Check all that apply)
None of These	Braces
Manual Wheelchair	Crutches
Service Animal	Cane
Electric Wheelchair	Walker
Portable Oxygen	Respirator
Three-Wheeled Scooter/Wheelchair	
Other Please Specify:	
4. Do you need to travel with someone who assist	s you? (Personal Care Attendant)
Always Sometimes No (!	f no proceed to Part 4)
If you checked sometimes, please explain when you w	rould need a PCA.
If you travel with someone who assists you, does this	person assist you in:
Getting to or from bus stops	
Getting on or off the bus	•
To help me get where I am going	
Other Describe:	
Other Describe.	* 4
5. If you use a wheelchair or scooter, can you to seat?	ransfer from the wheelchair or scooter to a bus
Yes No Not Applica	ble
6. If you use a wheelchair or scooter, is it more that it, when occupied, weight more than 600 pounds	han 30 inches wide, more than 48" long or does ds?
Yes No Not Applica	ble

Part 4: BUS USAGE

1. Have you ever used the Treasure Coast Connector Bus?
Yestimes a week .
Yes, Stopped because
No
2. Can you follow written or oral instructions?
Yes No Sometimes
If you checked no or sometimes, please explain.
3. If necessary, are you able to get on and off a transit bus with a wheelchair lift?
Do not need to use a wheelchair lift.
Yes No Please explain:
4. If a bus stop was near you, are you able to walk to the bus stop?
Yes No Please explain:
5. Are you able to wait at the bus stop?
Yes No Please explain:
6. Are you able to identify the correct bus?
Yes No Please explain:

ST. LUCIE ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM (SLATS)_APPLICATION

7. Are y	you able to hand	lle/grasp co	oins (pay fare), tickets, railings, handles?
Y	es es	No	Please explain:
8. Are y	ou able to keep	balance wh	nile seated on a moving vehicle?
Y			Please explain:
9. Are y	you able to reach are needed to	l, hear, und make neces	derstand and/or process information, schedules, or directions ssary decisions during a trip?
Ye	es	No Please	explain:
,			
10. Are y	ou able to deal	with unexpe	ected situations and unexpected changes in routine?
Ye	es	No Please	e explain:
11. Are yo	ou prevented fr	om walking	to or from a bus stop for one or more of the following reasons?
	Extreme sensiti	vity to clima	atic conditions
	Allergic/enviro	nmental sen	
I	Hyper-fatigue, f	railty	
N	Night Blindness		
I	nability to cross	busy inters	sections
C	Other Please ex	plain:	

ST. LUCIE ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM (SLATS) APPLICATION

12. Are you able to find your way between familiar locat	ions?
Yes No	•
13. Are you able to signal the bus driver to get off at a far	miliar bus stop and get off the bus there?
Yes No	
14. At a bus stop served by more than one bus route, are board and indicate intention to board?	e you able to distinguish the correct bus t
Yes No	
I hereby certify that the information given in this application of this form is to determine if there are times when I cann service. I understand that the information about my disable the confidential and shared only with professionals involved	not use the Treasure Coast Connector bus pility contained in this application will be d in evaluating my eligibility.
Signature: Applicant	Date:
Applicant	
signature of other person completing this form:	
lignature:	Date:

In order to allow St. Lucie Alternative Transportation Systems (SLATS) to evaluate your request for ADA paratransit eligibility certification, it may be necessary to contact your health care or rehabilitation medical professional for additional information about your disability and ability to use regular bus service. Please complete and sign the following authorization.

Note: It is important that, if possible, you identify a medical professional who is familiar not only with your particular disability but who also understands your ability or inability to travel on the public transit system.

APPLICANTS 60 OR OLDER DO NOT NEED TO COMPLETE PAGES 8 OR 9

<i>I</i> ,		
I,Applicant's Nar authorize the following medic	ne al professional	1.
Name of Doctor:		
Street Address:		
City/Town:		
Telephone Number: ()		
Fax Number: ()		
to release to ST. LUCIE AN (SLATS) information about my travel which may be needed paratransit eligibility certification released will be useligibility. I understand that I Unless earlier revoked, this for to release information describbelow.	y disability an in connection cation. It is a seed solely to de may revoke this muill permit to the contract of the contract o	nd its effect on my ability to with my request for ADA my understanding that the etermine by ADA paratransists authorization at any time the professional listed above
Applicant's Signature:		
Date:		,

MEDICAL VERIFICATION

TO BE COMPLETED BY A LICENSED PHYSICIAN ONLY

The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA requires that St. Lucie County provide complementary paratransit service to anyone who cannot use the fixed route system because of a disability. The applicant who has asked you to review and sign this form is applying to be considered eligible for the paratransit service because of some disability. This service is intended only for those trips that the person cannot make using the fixed route system because of a disability.

Please carefully review the information provided in this application and answer the questions below: Applicant's Name: 1. Please describe the disability which functionally prevents the applicant from using the fixed route bus system. Is this disability ____ permanent or _____ temporary? If temporary, duration How does this condition prevent the applicant from using the fixed route system? To the best of your professional knowledge, is the information provided by the applicant in this application true and correct? ____ Yes ___ No ___ Do not know. Signature: _____ Date: _____ Print Name and Title: State of Florida License #: Business Address:

Telephone #: (_____)

St. Lucie County Policy as to the Eligibility of Clients Using Transportation Disadvantaged Trust Fund (TDTF) Services June 2016

Transportation Disadvantaged Trust Fund (non-sponsored) monies may be used to fund trips for properly identified clients when:

- **No other funding is available** for appropriate trips as defined in the approved Prioritization Policy when another purchasing agency is usually responsible for transportation or,
- No other means of transportation is available including but not limited to relatives, friends, neighbors or free services offered by some institutions or;
- **Public Transit** The client is not capable of using fixed route public transit, or it is not available.
- Physical or Mental Disability/Age All clients referred for TDTF trips shall meet the minimum requirements of the referring agency and, or may be frail and elderly (60 years or older), or might have a physical or mental disability as outlined in the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- **Income Status** All clients referred for TDTF trips shall not exceed 125% of Federal Poverty Guidelines for households or individuals if they do not meet the minimum requirements of the referring agency.
- **No Self declarations** to the Community Transportation Coordinator shall be allowed. The application process used by the referring agency shall substantiate the client's ability to meet the criteria established therein as well as in their individual criteria.
- Ability to Pay If a client cannot pay the fare for transportation, the CTC will evaluate the client based on information collected via the CTC 'Application for Assistance' and Affidavit stating the clients' need for transportation.

Clients shall be enrolled by the agency which most appropriately meets his or her disability or age group.

AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

Board/Committee: St. Lucie LCB

Meeting Date: June 15, 2016

Item Number: 7d

Item Title: 2016 Meeting Schedule Revision

Item Origination: Florida Commission for the Transportation

Disadvantaged (FCTD)

UPWP Reference: Task 3.8 – Transportation Disadvantaged (TD)

Program

Requested Action: Approve the proposed 2016 Meeting Schedule,

approve with conditions, or do not approve.

Staff Recommendation: Because the proposed 2016 Meeting Schedule

meets the requirements of Florida law and facilitates the conduct of business by the LCB, it is recommended that the proposed 2016 Meeting

Schedule be approved.

Attachments

- Staff report
- Draft 2016 meeting schedule
- FCTD/FPTA conference flyer

MEMORANDUM

TO: St. Lucie Local Coordinating Board for the Transportation

Disadvantaged (LCB)

THROUGH: Peter Buchwald

Executive Director

FROM: Marceia Lathou

Transit Program Manager

DATE: June 1, 2016

SUBJECT: 2016 Meeting Schedule Revision

BACKGROUND

As required by Florida law, the LCB meets at least quarterly at accessible locations as required by the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

ANALYSIS

At its December 16, 2015, meeting the LCB approved a schedule of four meetings for 2016 with the last meeting of the year scheduled for December 14, 2016. Subsequent to the approval by the LCB of its meeting schedule, the Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged and the Florida Public Transportation Association scheduled their joint, annual conference for December 11-14, 2016. Therefore, it is proposed that the LCB meeting scheduled for December 14, 2016 be rescheduled to Wednesday, December 21, 2016.

RECOMMENDATION

Because the proposed revision to the 2016 Meeting Schedule meets the requirements of Florida law and facilitates the conduct of business by the LCB, it is recommended that the proposed 2016 Meeting Schedule be approved.

DRAFT

Local Coordinating Board for the Transportation Disadvantaged (LCB) 2016 Meeting Schedule

<u>Date</u> <u>Type</u>

March 16, 2016 Annual Public Hearing/

Regular Meeting

June 15, 2016 Regular Meeting

September 14, 2016 Regular Meeting

December 21, 2016 Regular Meeting

Meeting Notes

LCB meetings generally start at 2:00 p.m. Meetings are subject to change and/or cancellation.

Location

All meetings are held at the St. Lucie TPO, 466 SW Port St. Lucie Boulevard, Suite 111, Port St. Lucie, Florida

NOTICES

The St. Lucie TPO satisfies the requirements of various nondiscrimination laws and regulations including Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Public participation is welcome without regard to race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, disability, income, or family status. Persons wishing to express their concerns about nondiscrimination should contact Marceia Lathou, the Title VI/ADA Coordinator of the St. Lucie TPO, at 772-462-1593 or via email at lathoum@stlucieco.org.

Persons who require special accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) or persons who require translation services (free of charge) should contact Marceia Lathou, 772-462-1593, at least five days prior to the meeting. Persons who are hearing or speech impaired may use the Florida Relay System by dialing 711.

Items not included on the agenda may also be heard in consideration of the best interests of the public's health, safety, welfare, and as necessary to protect every person's right of access. If any person decides to appeal any decision made by the St. Lucie TPO with respect to any matter considered at this meeting, that person shall need a record of the proceedings, and for such a purpose, that person may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made which includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based.

<u>Kreyol Ayisyen</u>: Si ou ta renmen resevwa enfòmasyon sa a nan lang Kreyòl Aysiyen, tanpri rele nimewo (772) 462-1593.

Español: Si usted desea recibir esta información en español, por favor llame al 772-462-1593.



AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

Board/Committee: St. Lucie LCB

Meeting Date: June 15, 2016

Item Number: 8a

Item Title: Evaluation of Treasure Coast Connector (TCC)

Bus Stop Locations and Provision of

Infrastructure

Item Origination: LCB

UPWP Reference: Task 3.8 – Transportation Disadvantaged (TD)

Program

Requested Action: Discuss and provide comments to staff.

Staff Recommendation: Discuss and provide comments to staff.

<u>Attachment</u>

Staff report

MEMORANDUM

TO: St. Lucie Local Coordinating Board for the Transportation

Disadvantaged (LCB)

THROUGH: Peter Buchwald

Executive Director

FROM: Marceia Lathou

Transit Program Manager

DATE: June 1, 2016

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Treasure Coast Connector (TCC) U.S. 1

Bus Stop and Infrastructure Locations

BACKGROUND

Bus Stop and Infrastructure Locations

Transit agencies must consider numerous factors when establishing bus stops and installing shelters or benches. Criteria for establishing bus stop locations include both demand-side and supply-side factors as shown in Table 1.

Table 1 – Criteria for Determination of Bus Stop Locations

Demand-Side Factors	Supply-Side Factors		
 Land use and urban form Development density Passenger volumes/proximity to major origins and destinations Passenger recommendation 	 Right-of-way width/Consent of the jurisdiction having authority over the affected right-of-way Pedestrian and vehicular safety ADA accessibility Traffic volume and speed Characteristics of community and adjacent property Location of sidewalks, lighting fixtures, and driveways Ease of transfer, proximity of stop for the route in opposite direction Agency's network design, scheduling, and operational objectives Visibility of transit service Driver recommendation Distance from hazardous locations such as drainage and ditches, 		

Source: Gannett Fleming, Inc.

June 1, 2016 Page 2 of 15

Constraints exerted by supply-side factors often outweigh the demand side. Safety is a primary concern. Sidewalk and crosswalk conditions near a stop must be evaluated to ensure safe passage. Sites must have room not only for the bus stop, but also for the buses, riders waiting on the buses, and others who use the surrounding area. Bus stops and shelters are preferably placed away from existing utilities and overhead obstructions such as tree limbs, awnings, balconies and other signage. Sight lines and visual obstructions are added concerns. Shelters, for example, should not obstruct traffic signage or block sight lines for those traveling on the roadway. Transit agencies also are sensitive to adjacent property owners. While not always possible, the preference is to establish bus stops and infrastructure where they do not block views of windows, doors, signage or storefronts.

Right-of-way availability is a critical concern. In cases where available space is limited, transit agencies may be required to request easements from nearby property owners.

In general, bus stops should be located at major intersections and at transfer points. Bus stops also should provide access to major activity centers such as colleges, shopping plazas, and hospitals. In determining the optimum spacing of bus stops, transit agencies must balance the needs of passengers with the need for operational efficiency. Table 2 summarizes widely used industry guidelines for bus stop spacing provided in Reports 19 and 69 by the Transit Cooperative Research Program (TCRP) and the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP), respectively.

Table 2 – Bus Stop Spacing

	TCRP Report 19	NCHRP Report 69
	Range (typical spacing) *	Range*
High-density residential	300 – 1,000 (600)	440 - 528
areas, CBDs, and major		
employment centers		
High-density residential	500 – 1,000 (750)	660 - 880
and employment areas		
Suburban residential	600 – 2,500 (1,000)	1,056 – 2,640
areas (4 to 22		
units/acre)		
Rural areas	650 – 2,640 (1,250)	1,320 – 2,640

Source: Districts One & Seven – Transit Facility Handbook 2007

*Dimensions in feet

During its March 16, 2016 regular meeting, LCB members initiated a discussion of the location of stops and bus stop infrastructure along the U.S. 1 corridor. The LCB directed TPO staff to report back with an analysis, with particular emphasis on the bus stops near Mustard Seed Ministries.

June 1, 2016 Page 3 of 15

U.S. 1/Edwards Road Bus Stops

Mustard Seed Ministries is located on the northbound side of U.S. 1 near the intersection of Edwards Road in Fort Pierce. This section of U.S. 1 is served by the Treasure Coast Connector (TCC) fixed-route bus service which runs on hourly headways. Bus stops are located both northbound and southbound on U.S. 1 near Edwards Road. Both stops are designated with signs only. The northbound stop lies adjacent to a sidewalk. There is no sidewalk in front of the Public Storage/Twin Vee properties where the southbound stop is located. Because U.S. 1 carries a high volume of traffic at high speeds, riders using the southbound bus stop are exposed to hazardous conditions. See Figures 1 and 2.



Figure 1 – U.S. 1/Edwards Road Southbound Bus Stop

June 1, 2016 Page 4 of 15

Figure 2 – U.S. 1/Edwards Road Southbound Bus Stop



June 1, 2016 Page 5 of 15

Although the U.S. 1/Edwards Road northbound stop is adjacent to a sidewalk, crossing a wide planting strip is required to access the bus. See Figure 3. The sidewalk is set back far enough from the street that a bus driver could possibly miss seeing passengers waiting on the sidewalk.





HANDS Clinic Access

In addition to the March 2016 bus stop discussion, a long-standing concern for the LCB has been access to the HANDS Clinic. HANDS of St. Lucie provides primary care, dental care, and health education for low-income, uninsured persons. HANDS also provides oral health screening and education in schools. HANDS is located on U.S. 1 between Midway Road and Edwards Road in the Gwenda Thompson Government Center, formerly known as the St. Lucie County Logistics Center. (Note: Ms. Thompson was a former LCB member.) The nearest TCC bus stops are southbound/northbound stops at Tumblin Kling Road and at Farmers Market Road/Dickson Drive. Either bus stop location is approximately one-half mile distance from the Clinic when adding the building setback. (The entrance to HANDS, a former Sam's Club, is set back more than 600 feet from the street.) See Figures 4, 5, and 6. Recently, FDOT funded a shuttle service which runs from the Fort Pierce Intermodal Station to the HANDS Clinic; ridership on the shuttle has been low, and therefore, has not solved the access issue.

June 1, 2016 Page 6 of 15





June 1, 2016 Page 7 of 15





June 1, 2016 Page 8 of 15



Figure 6 – HANDS Clinic Location

ANALYSIS

U.S. 1 Bus Stop/Shelter Locations

St. Lucie County, and its contracted public transportation provider, Community Transit, a division of the Council on Aging, St. Lucie, Inc., are responsible for the placement of bus stops and stop infrastructure throughout the TPO area. Bus routes are established based on the most recent Transit Development Plan (TDP). The TDP, which was last updated in 2014, is the transit provider's planning, development, and operational guidance document, based on a ten-year planning horizon. The location of stops and the installation of benches and shelters are determined by the demand-side and supply-side considerations described above.

Installation of bus shelters at selected locations along U.S. 1 has commenced, based on extensive field review and prioritization as part of the *Capital Improvement Plan for the U.S. 1 Corridor*. Plan implementation is funded by FDOT through a \$500,000 transit grant to support the regional transit services currently operating within the corridor in Martin and St. Lucie counties. Table 3 lists the shelter locations. Map 1 depicts the locations of existing TCC stops.

June 1, 2016 Page 9 of 15

Figure 7 shows the shelter design; the style will be as shown, however, the side panels will be perforated instead of plexiglass. The project is estimated to be completed before 2017.

Table 3 - Locations of Future U.S. 1 Bus Shelters

County	Location
St. Lucie	Benton Building
St. Lucie	K-Mart Plaza
St. Lucie	Sabal Palm Plaza (pending easement by shopping plaza)
St. Lucie	Midway Rd north
St. Lucie	Midway Rd south
St. Lucie	Rio Mar north
St. Lucie	Rio Mar south
St. Lucie	Prima Vista Crossings
St. Lucie	Chase Bank
St. Lucie	CVS Pharmacy
St. Lucie	TC Medical Center
Martin	Cracker Barrel
Martin	Lowes & BJ's
Martin	Pineapple Commons
Martin	Britt Road

June 1, 2016 Page 10 of 15

Map 1 – U.S. 1 Bus Route Map



June 1, 2016 Page 11 of 15



Figure 7 – Bus Shelter Design

U.S. 1/Edwards Road Bus Stops

The deficiencies of the Edwards Road bus stops were noted in the *U.S. 1 Capital Improvement Plan*. Both the southbound and northbound stops were recommended for bus shelters. Based on the following criteria used to prioritize stops in need of improvements, the Edwards Road stops were not prioritized for implementation.

- Category I: Usage and Connectivity (40 points)
- Category II: Ease of Implementation (20 points)
- Category III: Accessibility and Quality (25 points)
- Category IV: Access to Activity Centers (15 points)

The criteria and weighting were developed based on the data collection process, input from technical resources, and professional judgment.

The FY 2016/17 – FY 2020/21 Transportation Improvement Program for the TPO Area includes a project that will improve conditions at the Edwards Road bus stops. The project, which has limits along U.S. 1 between Midway Road and Edwards Road, involves resurfacing of the roadway and construction of sidewalks to fill sidewalk gaps. According to FDOT, the existing right-of-way on U.S. 1 south of Edwards Road appears to have adequate space for the installation of bus shelters and possibly bus bays. See Figure 8. The estimated start of construction for the resurfacing project is FY 2017/18.

June 1, 2016 Page 12 of 15



Figure 8 - U.S. 1/Edwards Road Right-of-Way

HANDS Clinic Access

St. Lucie County and Community Transit staff are exploring options to increase ridership on the HANDS Clinic shuttle. Efforts include working closely with HANDS staff and enhanced marketing.

RECOMMENDATIONS

U.S. 1 Bus Stop/Shelter Locations

Bus stops with full infrastructure enhance the transit experience, decrease perceived wait times for transit services, and can contribute to increased ridership. Bus signs, riders waiting on the bus, bus benches, and bus shelters are visual cues that inform riders of the specifics of a route. As mentioned

June 1, 2016 Page 13 of 15

above, the U.S. 1 bus shelter program is scheduled for completion in 2016. The shelters undoubtedly will raise awareness of the bus service which will likely increase ridership along all U.S. 1 stops, not just stops with shelters. Therefore, no additional recommendations regarding bus stop/shelter locations are proposed at this time.

U.S. 1/Edwards Road Bus Stops

Due to the lack of sidewalks, the southbound bus stop at U.S. 1/Edwards Road is located in a planting strip near a busy roadway. Conditions will improve once sidewalks are installed as part of the U.S. 1 resurfacing project. Placing a bench at this location in the interim would not improve safety.

Based on preliminary estimates, the right-of-way at the northbound U.S. 1/Edwards Road bus stop could support a wide range of improvements which could be installed as part of the U.S. 1 resurfacing project. In the interim, a bus bench could be installed in the vicinity of, although not necessarily adjacent to, this bus stop.

- Advise riders waiting at the southbound stop to stand as far away from the road as possible. Develop a graphic that indicates safe waiting areas within the public rights-of-way adjacent to Twin Vee/Public Storage properties. See Figure 9.
- Alert bus drivers that riders at the southbound stop could be standing near, but not necessarily adjacent to, the bus stop sign.
- Continue CTC/Mustard Seed Ministries coordination to place a bus bench in the vicinity of the northbound U.S. 1/Edwards Road bus stop.
- Continue FDOT/transit agency coordination to incorporate enhanced infrastructure as part of the U.S. 1 resurfacing project.

June 1, 2016 Page 14 of 15



Figure 9 – U.S. 1/Edwards Road Southbound Bus Stop Waiting Area

HANDS Clinic Access

The following recommendations relate to improving access to the HANDS Clinic.

- Explore the use of Section 5310 funds for the purchase of a vehicle to shuttle clients to/from the nearest bus stops or their homes.
- Explore the use of ride-hailing app services such as Uber to transport clients to/from the nearest bus stops or their homes.
- Add a Treasure Coast Connector (TCC) bus stop on the southbound U.S.
 1 route that would drop passengers off near the entrance of the HANDS Clinic provided that such a stop would not adversely impact the existing route operations.
 - o If a TCC stop at HANDS were implemented, HANDS clients travelling northbound from the Clinic should be provided with free transfers. The clients could then take the southbound bus to Midway Road, disembark, cross U.S. 1 and wait at the northbound bus stop, the site of a future bus shelter. The wait time for a northbound bus would be approximately 15 minutes. Advise HANDS clients of this option through travel training.
 - If a TCC stop at HANDS were implemented, clients who access the Clinic from the south would be provided with free transfers. The clients could then bypass the HANDS Clinic on the northbound bus

June 1, 2016 Page 15 of 15

and remain on the northbound bus which becomes a southbound bus after leaving the Fort Pierce Intermodal Station. The additional travel time would be approximately 30 minutes. Advise HANDS clients of this option through travel training.